Dick Bierman

Dick Bierman is a Dutch psychologist and parapsychologist, with a background in molecular physics. His experimental psi research has included work on ESP and presentiment effects. He has also experimented on the role of consciousness in quantum collapse.

Career

Dick Bierman has worked in the departments of psychology of the Universities of Amsterdam and Groningen He has been visiting researcher at the University of Lausanne and the University of the South Pacific and at the think-tanks StarLab (Brussels) and Interval (Palo Alto).

Bierman received his PhD and started his academic career with research in atomic and molecular physics. He then moved into artificial intelligence and research on intelligent Tutoring Systems. This led to a research focus on individual learning and later on learning during altered states of consciousness (sleep). A growing appreciation of the importance of feeling in learning, in addition to cognitive processes, eventually led to an interest in non-conscious emotions and their role in (intuitive) decision making. Here his regular academic work merged partly with his psi research.

Bierman's career in psi research spans almost fifty years, starting at the 1972 annual convention of the <u>Parapsychological Association</u> in Edinburgh. There he met Evan Harris Walker who presented his <u>Observational Theory of Psi</u>. He returned home with the plan to test this theory, which, at least in principle, seemed to integrate consciousness into physics. Together with Houtkooper, who developed the first Zener-based hardware RNG that was connected to a computer (PDP-8), he ran his first <u>psychokinesis</u> (PK) experiment, manipulating the 'observational history'. The subsequent report was published as the first article in the first issue of the *European Journal of Parapsychology*.

In subsequent years Bierman extended the development of the (RIPP) RNG, first for the Apple II and later with serial connection to be connected to any computer. These RIPP RNGs were sold around the world: Bierman later became a crucial point of (prior art) defence in a lawsuit between Intel and others and an individual claiming the patent for RNGs inside the computer.

During this time the centre of psi research in the Netherlands was at the University of Utrecht, where Bierman obtained his special professorship in parapsychology (this chair later moved to the Humanistic University in Utrecht). Researchers from the parapsychological department at Utrecht, who included <u>Richard Broughton</u>, Brian Millar and <u>Susan Blackmore</u>, held regular meetings with the Amsterdam team and a group from the SRU (Hans Michels) in Eindhoven to discuss theories and how to test them. Bierman's major research topic shifted to the fashionable <u>ganzfeld</u> experiment. But, as with his first PK experiment, he always used these experiments to test theorydriven process-oriented hypotheses. The results culminated in the formulation of a physical theory called CIRTS (Consciousness Induced Restoration of Time Symmetry). The theory holds that fundamental time-symmetry is restored in physical systems which contain highly coherent information processors such as the human brain. It claims to be a universal theory, but seems to account for the phenomenon of <u>presentiment</u> in particular.

In recent years Bierman has adopted more sophisticated techniques to probe the presentiment effect, using brain signals where it is possible to directly test the supposed time-symmetry and associated brain processes.

Bierman has repeatedly stressed the importance of replication, not only in parapsychology but also in psychology. His presentiment work, for example, was intended to replicate <u>Dean Radin</u>'s experiment;<u>1</u> also, some of <u>Rupert Sheldrake</u>'s work on phone telepathy and staring was replicated by Eva Lobach and Dick Bierman (see below).

Questionable Research Practices (QRPs)

Bierman has investigated the potential impact of questionable research practices on experimental psi outcomes, developing measures to mitigate methodological and statistical issues. In a seminal paper, Bierman and James Spottiswoode consider how much of the anomalous data in ganzfeld research can be explained away by assuming that psi researchers use the same questionable research practices as psychologists, and to the same degree. Their simulation suggest that, under these assumptions, the global results of more than a hundred ganzfeld experiments would have to be corrected, leaving a probable effect size of around 0.07 – only slightly significant. The authors argue that the major source of QRPs arises from selecting data that is biased by hypotheses instead of using of all the data – for example, the 'file-drawer effect' that results from a failure to publish non-significant studies. Finally, they outline progressive measures being adopted by the academic and parapsychological community: pre-registration and automatic real time uploading of all results, labelled formal or informal, where only formal results enter the pre-registered analysis.<u>2</u>

Implicit Precognition

In 2011, <u>Daryl Bem3</u> reported <u>experiments</u> in which participant behavioural responses – binary choices and reaction times – were apparently influenced by future information. These retroactive effects were investigated by Bierman in two phases. In the first phase, participants were instructed to respond to two randomlychosen symbols and ignore two other symbols. In the second phase, half of the participants were asked to go as quickly as possible (speed instruction), while the other half were encouraged to be as accurate as possible (accuracy instruction). In the second phase only one symbol was to be responded to. Bierman (with his student Aron Bijl) hypothesized that the second phase would have a practice effect on the first phase, through a retroactive influence rippling back in time. Analysis supported this prediction: participants responded significantly faster to the symbol that they were about to react to during the second phase, (p = 0.038). Participants with an intuitive thinking style were responsible for this significance; intuitives alone achieved highly significant results: (p = 0.001).4

In similar research, Bierman exploited a well-known optical phenomenon, the transparent Necker Cube, which can be viewed from top to bottom or from bottom to top, enabling the user to switch between perspectives. In this experiment, participants were asked to view the Necker Cube from top to bottom and press a button once they felt their perception had changed to bottom to top, these reaction times being recorded. Immediately after the button press, participants were presented with an opaque Necker Cube, the version being determined randomly. Bierman hypothesized that if the randomly-decided Necker Cube was also the top to bottom version (congruent with the first cube viewings), participant reaction times would be shorter, as if they were being affected by future information. This was confirmed by analysis of both the pilot and confirmatory studies (p = 0.026).

Piggy-Backing on Mainstream Research

EEG Presentiment Research

Bierman and Jacob Jolij investigated presentiment effects occurring in Jolij's regular EEG psychology studies. These presentiment experiments started as a 'sanity check' on Bierman's regular psychological EEG work (an analysis that researchers perform to check that the analysis does not provide significant data where this should be impossible).

The aim was to test learning algorithms that were used to classify a random (1 of 2) stimulus on the basis of EEG-response data. A student proposed to run the learning algorithm but not to use the EEG response data, instead using the baseline data preceding the stimulus. Conventionally, a signal in the past could never 'predict' a random stimulus, but in this case it did so – meaning the learning algorithm failed the sanity check. However, nothing was found that could explain this surprising result.

In the standard experiment, the task involves identifying a schematic face in the middle of a series of rapidly presented (100 ms) white noise images. A random process presents the face in some trials and not in others, a standard set-up in psychology studies that can be exploited to investigate presentiment by tracking EEG responses *before* the face is flashed to the participant. In later analyses, a prediction of the upcoming stimulus (face or no face) is made by analyzing the prestimulus baseline region of the EEG, which reveals differences between the two categories. The group has consistently found a prediction accuracy in the 51%-53% range, only marginally above the 50% chance level, but very significant statistically given the large number of trials.<u>6</u>

Consciousness and Quantum Collapse

In other work probing a consciousness-quantum relationship, both EEG and behavioural measures (subject image determinations) are recorded (the EEG often

presents a neural signature when the face is shown, compared to when the face is absent). Subjects whose EEG signature indicates an image are more likely to correctly identify the presence of an image if it is actually present. Similarly, subjects whose EEG patterns suggest no face are less likely to claim there is a face if no face is present (false positive call). True hits (when the subject determination of a face is in agreement with the presence of a face) and true misses (when the subject determination of no face is in agreement with the actual absence of a face) are compared between random sources: in several experiments, remarkable differences in speed of identification and accuracy have been recorded, superior performance coming from quantum-generated stimuli rather than pseudorandomly generated stimuli.

These findings have significant bearing on the role of consciousness on quantum collapse, favouring Wigner's interpretation that consciousness is a requisite for collapse. <u>7</u> They might also impact theoretically on the discussion of the concept of randomness and, practically, on the use of randomization in science.

Early Consciousness Collapse Research

This work follows earlier research by Bierman that more directly probed the quantum-consciousness connection. A long-standing problem in physics involves understanding the role of consciousness on the collapse of the wave function. A minority viewpoint in quantum mechanics views consciousness as fundamental in this process. Bierman arranged for pairs of participants who are connected to an EEG to observe a quantum process: the radioactive decay of Americium-241, where for some trials one member of the pair observed the decay event *after* the other member had pre-observed the event. Bierman theorized that if consciousness is involved in quantum collapse there should be a difference in EEG patterns between pre-observed and non-pre-observed in the final observed EEG. This is exactly what was seen, to a comfortably significant degree (p = 0.0106). However, a replication attempt failed to find the same evidence for an effect of pre-observation on EEG patterns.

Replicating Sheldrake

Sense of Being Stared at

By 2004, <u>Rupert Sheldrake</u> had reported a large body of studies testing the <u>sense of</u> <u>being stared at</u>. Bierman reports three replications of this work under conditions of sensory shielding, in which participants registered their guess as to whether or not they were being stared at in a computer. Altogether 188 sessions comprising 4784 trials were completed. The overall hit rate was not statistically significant and close to the 50% expected by chance. The strongest effects appeared when a sceptic acted as a starer, although this might have resulted from his extreme extraversion. Bierman speculated that his more stringent testing conditions, for example situating starers behind one-way mirrors, might have accounted for the poorer outcomes compared with Sheldrake's studies, which for the most part used weak methods.9

Telephone Telepathy

Testing telephone telepathy, another of Sheldrake's signature experiments, Bierman and Lobach arranged for six participants to choose four close friends or relatives to act as callers. All participants completed a total of 36 trials (six sessions of six trials each). At a different location another experimenter used a die to select a caller about five minutes before the scheduled trial. Then he or she contacted the caller, who was asked to call the participant after five minutes, focusing thoughts on the participant for the last two minutes before the call was made. When the participant's phone rang, he or she guessed which of four friends was calling before answering the call. Analysis revealed a significant overall scoring rate of 29.4% (p = 0.05) where 25% was expected by chance.

Of note: almost all the effect was seen during sessions conducted at times predicted to be the most advantageous, according to previous research on local sidereal time (where the position of Earth relative to the stars is said to influence ESP scoring). Scores in these sessions were highly significant at 34.6%. A stronger emotional bond between participant and caller was also found to be associated with a higher hit rate. Bierman concluded that his experiments revealed evidence for telephone telepathy.<u>10</u>

Replicating Bem

Bierman and co-authors attempted a replication of an experiment that was part of the successful series reported by Daryl Bem in 2011. This concerned retropriming, where an image presented to a person is more quickly assessed as being either positive or negative in nature if the words presented afterwards are congruent with the image (negative image–negative word, or positive image–positive word) than incongruent. Two experiments were carried out in a large multi-lab collaboration published in 2021, in which Bierman took part. Neither of them confirmed Bem's finding, although some significant effects were noted: for instance subjects who were asked to read a statement supporting the existence of psi prior to the experiment showed higher psi scores than those who read a statement that denied it (p = 0.05). More encouragingly, sensation seeking, a component of extraversion, was a fairly robust psi performance correlate (p < 0.005).<u>11</u>

Experimenter Effect

Jolij and Bierman are addressing experimenter effects, which they consider crucial for advancing our understanding of psi phenomena. By engaging multiple experimenters in a single project they seek to establish confidence intervals and reduce the likelihood of systematic errors due to individual experimenters. <u>12</u>

Generalized Quantum Theory

In order to understand the reason the lack of reproducibility in psi experiments, theoretically inclined parapsychologists have developed the <u>Generalized Quantum</u> <u>Theory (GQT)</u>, which describes psi as anomalous correlations that emerge in unexpected places within an experiment. Some have developed an approach to

measure these anomalous correlations, called the <u>Correlational Matrix Method</u>. Bierman and Jolij ran data from two unsuccessful retropriming experiments from the multilab collaboration described above (see Replicating Bem). They found significantly more correlations among unconnected variables (referred to as 'acausal correlations') than chance would predict (p = 0.026), appearing to provide support for GQT.<u>13</u>

Michael Duggan

Literature

Bem, D.J. (2011). Feeling the future: Experimental evidence for anomalous retroactive influences on cognition and affect. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 100, 407-25.

Bem, D.J., Tressoldi, P., Rabeyron, T., & Duggan, M. (2016). <u>Feeling the future: A</u> meta-analysis of 90 experiments on the anomalous anticipation of random future events. *F1000Research* 4, 10.

Bierman, D. (2004). Does consciousness collapse the wave function? *Mind and Matter* 1/1, 45-57.

Bierman, D. (2011). <u>Anomalous switching of the Bi-Stable percept of a Necker</u> <u>Cube: A preliminary study</u>. *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 25, 721-33.

Bierman, D., & Whitmarsh, S. (2006). <u>Schrodinger's Cat: Empirical research into the</u> radical subjective solution of the measurement problem.

Bierman, D., & Jolij, J. (2020). <u>Dealing with the Experimenter Effect</u>. *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 34/4, 703-9.

Bierman, D., Spottiswoode, J., & Bijl, A. (2016). Testing for questionable research practices in a meta-analysis: An example from experimental parapsychology. *PLOS ONE*. 11/5.

Bijl, A. & Bierman, D. (2014). <u>Anomalous 'retrocausal' effects on performance in a</u> <u>'go/nogo' task</u>. *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 28, 437-52.

Houtkooper, J.M. (1977). A comment on Schmidt's mathematical model of psi. *European Journal of Parapsychology* 2/1, 15-18.

Houtkooper, J.M. (1983). Observational theory: A research programme for paranormal phenomena. Ph.D. Thesis, State University of Utrecht, 57-64.

Jolij, J., & Bierman, D. (2017). <u>Testing the potential paradoxes in "retrocausal"</u> <u>phenomena</u>. AIP Conference Proceedings.

Jolij, J., Bierman, D. (2019). <u>Two attempted retro-priming replications show theory-</u> relevant anomalous connectivity. *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 33/1, 43-60.

Lobach, E., & Bierman, D. (2004a). The invisible gaze: Three attempts to replicate Sheldrake's staring effects. *Proceedings of the 47th Annual Parapsychological*

Association Convention.

Lobach, E., & Bierman, D. (2004b). Who is calling at this hour? Local sidereal time and telephone telepathy. *Proceedings of the 47th Annual Parapsychological Association Convention*.

Radin D.I. (1997). <u>Unconscious perception of future emotions: An experiment in</u> <u>presentiment</u>. *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 11, 163-80.

Schlitz, M., Bem, D., Marcusson-Clavertz, D., Cardeña, E., Lyke, J., Grover, R., Blackmore, S., Tressoldi, P., Roney-Dougal, S., Bierman, D., Jolij, J., Lobach, E., Hartelius, G., & Delorme, A. (2021). <u>Two replication studies of a time-reversed (psi)</u> <u>priming task and the role of expectancy in reaction times.</u> *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 35/1, 65-90.

Endnotes

Footnotes

- <u>1.</u> Radin (1997).
- <u>2.</u> Bierman & Jolij (2019).
- <u>3.</u> Bem (2011).
- <u>4.</u> Bierman & Bilj (2014).
- <u>5.</u> Bierman (2011).
- <u>6.</u> Bierman & Jolij (2017).
- <u>7.</u> Paper presented at the 60th Annual Convention of the Parapsychological Association in Athens, July 2017.
- <u>8.</u> Bierman (2004).
- <u>9.</u> Bierman & Lombach (2004).
- <u>10.</u> Bierman & Lombach (2004).
- <u>11.</u> Schlitz et al. (2021).
- <u>12.</u> Bierman & Jolij (2020).
- <u>13.</u> Jolij & Bierman (2019).

© Psi Encyclopedia