

Reincarnation: An Overview

Reincarnation may be defined as the return of a nonmaterial essence (soul, mind, consciousness) to another physical body after death. Reincarnation beliefs are widespread in the world today and may be quite ancient. This article covers beliefs about reincarnation in various traditions and esoteric systems but emphasizes research with persons who claim to remember previous lives and theories that have been developed to account for the research findings. Special attention is given to criticisms of the research and to alternative explanatory frameworks.

The Concept of Reincarnation

The idea of reincarnation rests on a [dualistic](#) conception of mind and body that runs counter to reductionist [materialism](#), which holds that [consciousness](#) is generated by the brain. From the materialist perspective, the survival of consciousness after bodily death is inconceivable and reincarnation is no more than a tenet of some religions and occult systems. Materialism has been the guiding philosophy of Western science in recent centuries but it is increasingly under assault from various directions¹ and with its weakening is coming an openness to the possibility of postmortem survival and reincarnation. In the view of physicist [Henry Stapp](#) of the [Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory](#) in Berkeley, California, ‘Rational science-based opinion on this question [of the survival of consciousness after bodily death] must be based on the content and quality of the empirical data, not on a presumed incompatibility of such phenomena with our contemporary understanding of the workings of nature’.²

Fortunately, there is now considerable empirical data to be examined, thanks to a research program initiated by [Ian Stevenson](#) of the [University of Virginia](#) in 1961.³ Unsurprisingly, Stevenson’s research and that of his colleagues and successors has come in for a fair amount of criticism, but it continues to progress and move in new directions. It seems wise to allow any final definition of reincarnation to be guided by this empirical work, but meanwhile we may take account of the various ways reincarnation has been conceived in different belief systems. According to the great religions of Asia, humans may be reborn as nonhuman animals, but that is not so elsewhere. There are also different opinions about when reincarnation occurs (at conception, at birth, or at no certain point), whether or not it is possible to change sex between lives, whether [karma](#) plays a role in the process, and other details.

For the purposes of this article, reincarnation is defined simply as the return of a nonmaterial essence associated with an entity to another physical body after bodily death. This definition is general enough to allow for the many notions of what reincarnates and how it does so. In everyday speech, the essence that reincarnates often is called the ‘soul’, but many traditions imagine it as a continuation of self and personality. In Buddhism, it is a stream of consciousness devoid of mental content and separate from a self and self-identity. Before taking up research on cases of past-life memory and the theoretical work that attempts to account for

them, the diverse ways reincarnation has been understood are reviewed. First, the terminology used to talk about reincarnation is considered.

Reincarnation Terminology

Writers on reincarnation have used several different words, often with more or less the same meaning, but sometimes with differences. Although *reincarnation* is the preferred term today, in the past it was *metempsychosis* or the *transmigration of souls*.⁴

Reincarnation is a word with a Latin root that means ‘return to the flesh’ or ‘entering the flesh again’. It was not used in ancient times, however, but was coined in the middle of the nineteenth century as a hyphenated word.⁵ *Rebirth* also came into widespread use in the mid-nineteenth century, perhaps a little earlier than reincarnation.⁶

Transmigration, another term with a Latin root, was in use by the 1300s to refer to movement of people from one place to another. The sense of ‘passage of the soul after death into another body’ was first recorded in 1594.⁷

Metempsychosis is the English rendering of the Greek term *μετεμψύχωσις* that was used in ancient times to refer to the doctrine of reincarnation as promoted by [Pherecydes of Syros](#) (c. 580– c. 520 BCE) and [Pythagoras](#) (c. 570– c. 495 BCE), among others.⁸ The [Stoics](#) (300s BCE) introduced *palingenesia* (παλιγγενεσία) or palingenesis to refer to the continual recreation of the universe and this also came to refer to reincarnation in antiquity.⁹ The Christian Church Father [Clement of Alexandria](#) (150–215 CE) was the first to use the term *metensomatosis* (μετενσωμάτωσις), literally ‘change of body’.¹⁰ However, neither *palingenesis* nor *metensomatosis* came to be as widely used as *metempsychosis* and they are rarely found today.

In [Judaism](#), the [Hebrew](#) term *gilgul* (גלגול, cycle) was paired with *neshamot* (הנשמות, souls) to refer to a “cycle of souls” by the [Kabbalist Isaac Luria](#) (1534–1572) in his [Gate of Reincarnations](#), but does not appear to have been in use earlier.¹¹

Islam uses the Arabic word *tanasukh* to refer to reincarnation.¹²

The [Sanskrit](#) term *samsara* (saṃsāra) may be the oldest term for reincarnation in use. It means ‘wandering’ or ‘world’, with the connotation of cyclic change, and is the fundamental premise of all [Indic](#) religions.¹³ *Samsara* was taken into [Hindi](#) and [Pali](#) and has the meaning of reincarnation in both [Hinduism](#) and [Buddhism](#).¹⁴ When speaking English, Hindus refer to *samsara* as *reincarnation*, but Buddhists prefer *rebirth*, because for them reincarnation implies the recycling of a soul, the existence of which they deny. In this usage, *reincarnation* denotes the carrying forward of personhood across lives, whereas *rebirth* denotes the persistence of an individual’s behaviors and karmic tendencies only after death; it is not the continuance of personhood.¹⁵

Belief in Reincarnation

Reincarnation in Eastern Religious Traditions

By far the best known reincarnation belief systems are those associated with the major Indic religions, Hinduism, Buddhism, [Jainism](#) and [Sikhism](#). The first three of these traditions began to develop about the same time, around 500 BCE, and they influenced each other even as they took separate paths. There is also considerable complexity within each faith, when we realize that there is a difference between established doctrine, the elaborations of religious thinkers, and the convictions of lay individuals.¹⁶ There are common denominators in the Indic systems, however. All include karma and embrace some form of metempsychosis, with the goal of achieving release from the cycle and reaching an end state, called [moksha](#) (mokṣa) in Hinduism and Jainism, [mukti](#) in Sikhism, and [nirvana](#) (nirvāna, Sanskrit) or nibanna (nibāṇa, Pali) in Buddhism.¹⁷

In most varieties of Hinduism, God oversees samsara and mediates karma, so that one's bad karma may be ameliorated by ritual appeals to God. Some Hindus believe that it is possible to transfer good karma to other persons (especially spouses and children) and to the spirits of the dead.¹⁸ There is no fixed time after death that reincarnation occurs. The interval may be as short as a few months or days, and reincarnation may even occur after birth. The Sanskrit and Hindi term [parakaya pravesha](#) denotes the return of a wandering spirit in a living body; it is usually translated as [\(spirit\) possession](#) rather than reincarnation, however.¹⁹

Sikhism was not founded until the fifteenth century, long after the other Indic faiths. Its teachings on reincarnation are similar to the Hindu. The soul is subject to reincarnation until its liberation by God.²⁰ Jainism, by contrast, began to develop around the same time as Buddhism and many of its tenets are similar to the Buddhist, except that Jainism recognizes God. Jains hold that karma drives the soul to reincarnate immediately at death into another body then being conceived.²¹

Buddhism originated in India, but by the third century CE proselytizing monks were carrying it to different countries around eastern Asia. It was banished from India for political reasons in the thirteenth century and today has only a small presence there. Buddhism became syncretized to local traditions in each place it became established, making for a great variety of Buddhist eschatologies. Two broad branches, [Theravada and Mahayana](#), are recognized,²² but within each there are many local types. [Tibetan Buddhism](#) is an especially distinctive form of Mahayana Buddhism, and [Japanese Buddhism](#) is again different.²³ A key difference between Theravada and Mahayana Buddhism is the nature of existence between lives. Theravada Buddhism stipulates that conscious awareness ceases at death, but in the Mahayana schools, not only does conscious awareness continue, the consciousness stream is supported by a subtle body. Nevertheless, even in Tibetan Buddhism, one's karma determines the womb door one confronts in the end.²⁴

Reincarnation in the Circum-Mediterranean Region

Reincarnation beliefs appear in various places around the [Mediterranean Sea](#) in ancient times, apparently independently of the development of similar beliefs in India and to some extent from each other. None of these systems have a fully

developed concept of karma, but in other respects there are significant differences between them geographically and over time.

[Pherecydes of Syros](#) was the first Greek to write about reincarnation, in the 500s BCE,²⁵ but Greek reincarnation beliefs are more closely related to [Pythagoras](#). Unfortunately, Pythagoras favoured oral instruction and left no writings of his own (those attributed to him are now known to be forgeries).²⁶ In 440 BCE, [Herodotus](#) alleged that Greek reincarnation beliefs had been acquired from Egypt. These teachings affirmed, Herodotus said, that ‘when the body dies, the soul enters into another creature which chances then to be coming to the birth, and when it has gone the round of all the creatures of land and sea and of the air, it enters again into a human body as it comes to the birth; and that it makes this round in a period of three thousand years’.²⁷ It is not certain that these were Pythagoras’ views, though, and modern scholars doubt that they originated in Egypt. It is not clear that the Egyptians believed in reincarnation; it seems more likely that the elite, at least, subscribed to some form of [resurrection](#) instead of reincarnation.²⁸

Other Greeks who embraced reincarnation include the poet [Pindar](#) (c. 518-c. 438 BCE) and the philosophers [Empedocles](#) (c. 492- c. 432 BCE) and [Plato](#) (427/428-348/347 BCE). Plato’s ideas are presented in his dialogues, explored through the words of his characters, and are not entirely consistent across his oeuvre. Plato drew on his predecessors but added some thoughts of his own.²⁹ He likened the soul to a pair of winged horses hitched to a chariot. Some souls lost their wings and became embodied in creatures on Earth. These wingless souls were freed from the body at death to be judged by the gods and might be sent to the underworld to do penance for one thousand years before resuming corporeal life. Most souls required ten such cycles (ten thousand years) before they could regain their wings and return to the gods.³⁰ Souls on their way to reincarnation were allowed to choose their new bodies, either human or nonhuman animal, but did so on the basis of their temperaments and characters.³¹ Before resuming fleshy existence, they were made to drink from the River of Forgetfulness ([Lethe](#)) to wipe clean their memories of their previous lives.³² The notion that the gods judged souls after death and that rebirth occurred after penance had been paid made karma superfluous as an ethical theory for the Greeks.³³

The Jewish philosopher [Philo of Alexandria](#) (25 BCE-50 CE) wrote about reincarnation,³⁴ but whether early Jews in general believed in it is unclear. The historian [Flavius Josephus](#) (37-100 CE) reported that the [Pharisees](#) ‘say that all souls are incorruptible, but that the souls of good men only are removed into other bodies,—but that the souls of bad men are subject to eternal punishment’.³⁵ Some scholars have taken this to indicate reincarnation, but others³⁶ insist that it refers to bodily resurrection. If the reference is to reincarnation, it comes with a twist: only the righteous are reincarnated, but the wicked are destined to spend eternity in Hell. Philo held that perfected souls escaped the reincarnation cycle, whilst the imperfect were reborn,³⁷ opposite the situation described by Josephus.

For the Romans, reincarnation beliefs vied with traditional ideas of the afterlife in a subterranean inferno and it is not known how widely held they were.³⁸ Several Latin writers, including [Virgil](#) (70-19 BCE) and [Cicero](#) (106-43 BCE), dealt with reincarnation, however.³⁹ This period saw a resurgence of Pythagorean and

Platonic ideas, but also some new developments. The first of the [Neoplatonists](#) whose work has come down to us, [Plotinus](#) (c. 204–270), rejected resurrection in favor of reincarnation. He acknowledged that some people believe they may be reborn as animals as a result of ‘sin’, but follows Plato in teaching that one is reborn in a form consistent with one’s character.⁴⁰

Reincarnation ideas were so much a part of the intellectual climate of [Jesus](#)’s time and place that it is hard to imagine that he was not acquainted with them, but his stance on the subject is uncertain. There are passages in the [New Testament](#) that can be read as allusions to reincarnation on the part of Jesus’s [disciples](#), at least, but these passages may refer to [pre-existence](#) of the soul without prior incarnation, rather than to reincarnation.⁴¹ There was a good deal of controversy on this point even in antiquity.⁴² It was the possibility of the soul’s pre-existence rather than its reincarnation that got [Origen](#) (185-254) into trouble later.⁴³

Several second-century Christian Gnostics, including [Carpocrates](#) (d. 138), [Basilides](#) (d. 140), and [Valentinus](#) (100-160) espoused reincarnation. The belief was clearly widespread among the general population throughout the Levant during this period, as evidenced by the energy other Christians invested in disputing it. [Theophilus of Antioch](#) (d. c. 181), [Irenaeus of Lyons](#) (d. 202), [Tertullian of Carthage](#) (160-222), and [Marcus Minucius Felix](#) (d. 260) all condemned the idea of reincarnation⁴⁴ and the Church soon took steps to combat it.

In 325, Roman Emperor [Constantine the Great](#) (274-337) convened the [First Council of Nicaea](#) for the purpose of resolving disagreements over Christian doctrine, although the council did not confront the issue of reincarnation, as it is sometime presumed to have done.⁴⁵ At the [Second Council of Constantinople](#) (the Fifth Ecumenical Council of the Church) in 553, Origen’s speculations about pre-existence were judged to be heretical, shutting down discussion on that topic, which became generalized to include reincarnation.⁴⁶ Reincarnation beliefs did not altogether disappear from Christian lands, however. [St. Thomas Aquinas](#) (1224/1225-1274), among others, found it necessary to inveigh against them.⁴⁷ Reincarnation was a central tenet of the [Cathars](#), whose religion was brutally suppressed in the 1200s.⁴⁸ Later, [Giordano Bruno](#) (1548-1600) was burnt at the stake for teaching reincarnation, among other heresies.⁴⁹ Reincarnation beliefs also held on in areas dominated by the [Greek](#) and [Russian Orthodoxy](#), continuing there after the separation of the [Eastern Orthodox Church](#) from [Roman Catholicism](#) in the eleventh century.⁵⁰

Judaism did not take a doctrinaire stand against reincarnation. Reincarnation figures in the eighth-century [Karaite movement](#) and then in the twelfth-century [Bahir](#). The *Bahir*, written in Spain and now recognized as the first work of the [Kabbalah](#), is popularly attributed to the first-century Rabbi [Nehunia ben HaKana](#).⁵¹ Reincarnation beliefs were elaborated in the twelfth-century [Zohar](#), by fifteenth century Italian Kabbalists,⁵² and by the German [Yitzhak \(Isaac\) Luria](#) (1534-1572), whose [Gate of Reincarnations](#) is a principal text of the Ultra-Orthodox Hasidim today.⁵³

Early Islam may not have rejected reincarnation, although opposition soon developed, and the belief is represented today only among the esoteric [Sufis](#) and

heterodox [Shia](#) sects such as the [Alevi](#), [Alawites](#) and [Druze](#).⁵⁴ The details of the beliefs vary from one sect to another. Historically, one stream of thought held that souls progressed from inanimate objects, such as stones, to nonhuman animals, then to humans, from which condition it was possible to attain transcendence.⁵⁵ The idea that actions in one life might influence the conditions of the afterlife or the next embodied life is found in Sufism and among the Turkish Alevi, but the idea is not universal or well-developed, as is the doctrine of karma in Eastern religions, and may reflect a borrowing from them.⁵⁶ Alawites hold that they were originally stars or divine lights that were cast out of heaven through disobedience and must undergo repeated reincarnation before returning there.⁵⁷ The Alevi and Druze believe that God assigns souls to new bodies immediately upon death, then at the end of time judges them on the basis of all their lives in toto.⁵⁸ The Druze hold that Druze are always reborn as Druze.⁵⁹

Reincarnation beliefs have been documented in Europe not only among Christians and Jews, and not only in the circum-Mediterranean region. When [Julius Caesar](#) (100-44 BCE) led the Roman army into what is now France in 50 BCE, he discovered that the [Gauls](#) (a [Celtic](#) people) believed in reincarnation, and he opined that for this reason, they were unafraid to die.⁶⁰ Reincarnation beliefs have been documented for Celts in Great Britain, up to the early twentieth century,⁶¹ and for Nordic peoples as well.⁶² They figure in the *[Poetic Edda](#)*, a thirteenth-century Icelandic saga.⁶³

Reincarnation in the Modern Western World

Most modern Western notions of reincarnation are derived from two major streams of influence—Christian and Jewish esotericism, plus a purported Egyptian occultism and Neoplatonism, on the one hand; and concepts imported from Hinduism and Buddhism on the other.⁶⁴

An early and important movement, [Hermeticism](#), is thought to have had its genesis in the first centuries of the [Common Era](#) as an amalgamation of Christian and Jewish mysticism, Neoplatonism and Egyptian occultism. Reincarnation beliefs are basic to Hermeticism, although rarely stressed.⁶⁵ Hermetic teachings informed [alchemy](#), Western [magic](#) and [witchcraft](#), and [Rosicrucianism](#). Reincarnation has a central place in witchcraft and Rosicrucianism, which originated in the 1600s,⁶⁶ and in the [Hermetic Order of the Golden Dawn](#), founded in 1888.⁶⁷

[Freemasonry](#) is another secret society with reincarnation beliefs.⁶⁸ Although it has roots in Egyptian and Western esoteric traditions, including Pythagoreanism, Freemasonry developed separately from Hermeticism and Rosicrucianism. Masonic lodges first became organized in England under [King Athelstan](#) (reigned 924–940), but they were exclusively professional organizations for stone masons and it was not until the seventeenth century that the ‘speculative’ aspect of the society moved to the fore with the acceptance of non-masons into the lodges.⁶⁹ Thereafter, the society spread widely through Europe and was carried to America by colonists.

Along with Freemasonry, Rosicrucianism, witchcraft and magic, as well as the Kabbalah, made their way to America, where they found many new adherents and helped to inform American culture from its earliest days.⁷⁰ Reincarnation beliefs

accompanied them but ironically the British and European colonizers were largely unaware of Native American traditions of reincarnation.⁷¹ In the 1820s and 1830s, reincarnation was taken up by the [American Transcendentalists](#), including [Ralph Waldo Emerson](#) and [Henry David Thoreau](#).⁷² With the Transcendentalists, for the first time, there was a synthesis of ideas about reincarnation that included then-newly-translated texts of Eastern religions, and an emphasis on spiritual development and karma, especially in the works of Emerson.⁷³ Asian ideas, first Hindu and later Buddhist, claimed increasing attention from the 1840s onward.⁷⁴

Indic ideas of reincarnation, including karma and the concept of the [Akashic Records](#) as an etheric medium on which information has been recorded since the beginning of time, play an even larger role in the teachings of the [Theosophical Society](#), which was founded in upstate New York in 1875 by [Helena Blavatsky](#). [Rudolf Steiner](#) broke with Blavatsky to form [Anthroposophy](#) in Germany in the early twentieth century, but retained certain essential Theosophical teachings, such as reincarnation, karma and the Akashic Records. These ideas also shaped the life readings of [Edgar Cayce](#)⁷⁵ and have had an enduring effect on the way reincarnation is conceived in the West. They are central to the understanding of reincarnation in the [New Age movement](#) that began to dominate the American metaphysical scene in the 1970s and has since come to global prominence.⁷⁶ [Paganism](#) and [Wicca](#) – terms used today to refer to what was formerly called witchcraft – have in general adopted the New Age belief system regarding reincarnation.

Other new religious movements of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries embraced reincarnation without an explicit commitment to karma or other Eastern ideas. These include [Spiritism](#), as promulgated by [Allan Kardec](#); the [Unity Church](#), part of the American [New Thought](#) movement; and, in the twentieth century, [Eckankar](#), [the Church of Scientology](#), and the channeled teachings of [Seth](#) and [Michael](#).

Public opinion polls have found the belief in reincarnation to have increased both in Europe and America in recent decades. A 1968 survey of religious beliefs in Europe found that although the percentage varied by country, overall some 18% of respondents said they believed in reincarnation. A similar survey in 1986 found 21% of respondents reported believing in reincarnation.⁷⁷ In the United Kingdom, 29% of respondents to a European Values Survey conducted between 1990 and 1993 said they believed in reincarnation. National surveys conducted in continental Europe, mostly from 1999 to 2002, found an average incidence of 22% for the countries of Western Europe and 27% for the countries of Eastern Europe, with as many as 41% of Icelanders saying that they believed in reincarnation.⁷⁸ A 2013 Harris Poll found a similar upward trend in reincarnation belief among Americans, from 21% in 2005 to 24% in 2013. Younger age groups tended to have a greater level of belief, with only 11% of those over 68 believing in reincarnation in 2013. Interestingly, the rise in reincarnation beliefs between 2005 and 2013 came while beliefs in God, Heaven, angels, and other traditional religious ideas declined.⁷⁹

Reincarnation in Tribal Society

Reincarnation beliefs have been documented for indigenous tribal societies throughout the world. Many [American Indians](#) believe in reincarnation.⁸⁰ The belief is especially common in the northwestern part of the continent, in Alaska and British Columbia, and among the [Eskimos](#) and [Inuit](#) as far as Greenland.⁸¹ It has also been reported from the Lapps ([Sämi](#)), a [Finno-Ugric](#)-speaking people living mainly in Norway, Sweden, and Finland.⁸² Reincarnation beliefs are also found throughout Africa, Oceania, Australia, and the tribal societies of India and mainland Asia—on every inhabited continent, and in the majority of culture areas in all but Europe.⁸³ Cross-cultural studies using samples of 30,⁸⁴ 50⁸⁵ and 60⁸⁶ small-scale societies have found reincarnation beliefs in between a third and a half of them.

The reincarnation beliefs of tribal peoples are a feature of their animistic world view. [Animism](#) was first identified by Sir [Edward Burnett Tylor](#) (1832-1917) in his book *Primitive Culture*, originally published in 1871. Tylor drew his portrait of animism from the reports of travelers, missionaries and amateur ethnographers among indigenous peoples. He showed that animism had an experiential and empirical basis, in dreams in which human figures appeared, [ghosts and apparitions](#), and what today are called [out-of-body](#) and [near-death experiences](#), [mediumistic trance](#) and [shamanic journeying](#). Similarly, he pointed to phenomena such as dreams of expectant mothers, birthmarks on newborn babies, and the behaviors of toddlers, as having inspired the belief in reincarnation.⁸⁷

The specifics of animistic reincarnation beliefs vary from society to society, though they are characterized by the absence of karma and, except for some in the Indic sphere, a rebirth cycle that includes animal lives.⁸⁸ In general, animistic peoples believe that if nonhuman animals reincarnate, it is in their own species lines.⁸⁹ Reincarnation is expected in family lines⁹⁰ and an individual may declare before death the relative whom he intends to have as his new mother.⁹¹

Reincarnation beliefs are linked to social practices in tribal societies. The dead may be buried beneath the floor of the house to facilitate the return in the family or at crossroads in order to confuse the spirit and deter it from returning among its kin.⁹² Attempts are made to identify children with deceased relatives so that they can be given the same name.⁹³ Since names are linked to status and property, this allows people to reincarnate in such a way as to inherit positions and possessions from their previous lives.⁹⁴ The association of reincarnation beliefs and social practices is a characteristic feature of animism but absent in the world religions, in which beliefs tend to be more elaborated philosophically.⁹⁵

Origin of the Belief in Reincarnation

There have been many suggestions about where the belief in reincarnation originated. The most common view among scholars is that it was first conceived in India.⁹⁶ In antiquity, it was thought to have entered Greece from Egypt, and at least one commentator has suggested that it travelled from Egypt to India.⁹⁷ Some have proposed that it originated with the [Proto-Indo-European](#) ancestors of the Greeks, Indians, Celts, and Germanic peoples.⁹⁸ Another suggestion is that the belief arose in Tibet and Mongolia, whence it found its way independently to Greece, India, and North America.⁹⁹

More rarely, scholars have considered the possibility that the belief had multiple points of origin in different cultural and linguistic groups.¹⁰⁰ Those who take this position sometimes suggest that reincarnation was inspired by the cyclical patterns of nature.¹⁰¹ Tylor's position – that it was a conclusion drawn from pregnancy dreams and observations of things like physical and behavioural correspondences between children and deceased persons – has received little attention and yet would appear to have much in its favour. As Tylor noted, these signs are mentioned frequently in connection with reincarnation beliefs in tribal societies.¹⁰² For example, the Gilyak ([Nivkh](#)) of northeastern Siberia have a legend 'which tells that after the death of a Gilyak who had on his face distinctive scars from wounds received in a fight with a bear, a boy was born to another Gilyak with the very scars on his face as the deceased had'.¹⁰³ Signs like birthmarks are of a pan-human nature and so could have led to the belief wherever a resemblance was distinct enough to be noticed.

If the belief in reincarnation had multiple points of origin, it is easier to understand the great diversity of ways the process is conceived to operate today.¹⁰⁴ Moreover, if the belief was grounded initially in signs of the sort Tylor identified, it could be very old. Anthropologists suspect that Indian beliefs were borrowed from indigenous tribes.¹⁰⁵

Evidence for Reincarnation

Past-Life Readings

Of the several types of evidence that have been adduced for reincarnation, past-life identification by psychic practitioners is considered by researchers to be the weakest. The information comes from third parties and even when it is accurate, its source is uncertain and so is the person to which it refers. Because psychics use [extra-sensory perception](#) to gain information, their source could be the minds of their clients or it could be written documents or even a hypothetical etheric repository such as the [Akashic Records](#).¹⁰⁶ Psychic [Edgar Cayce](#) said that he sometimes obtained information for his 'life readings' from the minds of his clients and sometimes retrieved it from the Akashic Records.¹⁰⁷ However, information not gleaned from a client's mind would not necessarily refer to the client, but might belong to someone else, or could be imaginary. There is no way to know for sure.¹⁰⁸

Additionally, the information relayed by psychics may be coloured by their own convictions. Historian of religion [J. Gordon Melton](#) has shown how Cayce's life readings were influenced by the Theosophy to which he was introduced by a client. A typical reading from Cayce included a series of lives, beginning in Atlantis and Egypt, following the Theosophical account of history, and he put great emphasis on karma and other principles of the Theosophical concept of reincarnation.¹⁰⁹ Cayce's life readings are very popular and have been emulated by other psychics, but few of them include verifiable information, and of those few, only a small fraction have been confirmed upon investigation.¹¹⁰

Past Life Regression (PLR)

Regressions to previous lives under hypnosis ([past life regressions](#)) have become immensely popular for purposes of therapy, where psychological rather than factual truth is what matters,¹¹¹ but Stevenson found them of little value for research. He attempted to regress thirteen children with past-life memories to see if he could elicit additional verifiable details but did not succeed with any of them.¹¹² More importantly, there are many problems associated with using hypnosis to learn about past lives.

Hypnosis was once thought to enhance memory, but this is now known not to be true, which is why testimony based on hypnosis is no longer allowed in courts of law. Hypnosis encourages memory distortions such as [confabulation](#) and [paramnesia](#), in which fantasy and objective experience are confused, and [cryptomnesia](#) ([source amnesia](#)), in which a person imagines himself in the midst of events he has read or heard about, but has consciously forgotten. Moreover, hypnosis is a very suggestible state; even the instruction to go back to a previous life is enough to make a person imagine one. Nor does the instruction merely to return to the source of a trauma guarantee that a past life will be recalled as it occurred.¹¹³

Occasionally, veridical (factually correct) information that is not cryptomnesia-based emerges in past life regressions, but the identity of the previous person given is much more rarely confirmed. An example of veridical memories in a regression in which the previous person could not be identified is the famous case of [Bridey Murphy](#), which is sometimes mistakenly said to have been completely debunked.¹¹⁴ This partial accuracy may be due to the [subconscious mind](#) blocking memories from coming to [conscious awareness](#) fully because it is trying to shield the person from learning his past-life identity.¹¹⁵ In one celebrated regression case, Indianapolis police detective Robert Snow was able to identify his previous self by locating a canvas he saw himself painting under hypnosis, but the names he gave for both his past-life self and his past-life wife turned out incorrect.¹¹⁶

Déjà Vu and Child Prodigies

[Déjà vu](#) – the sense that one has been in a place before – often is no more than a memory distortion.¹¹⁷ However, when experiencers are able to lead their way around places they have never been, as happens on occasion, it is hard to ascribe them to fantasy. [Child prodigies](#) are children under the age of ten who have skills – generally mathematical, artistic, or sports skills – at the level of an expert adult performer. Because the skills manifest in children so young, they naturally lead to the suspicion that they were acquired in an earlier life. Déjà vu and child prodigies are classic lines of evidence for reincarnation, but the most that modern researchers are willing to say about them is that they are consistent with reincarnation. In and of themselves, neither supplies any significant support for it.¹¹⁸

Announcing Dreams, Birthmarks and Behaviours

Many tribal peoples rely on pregnancy dreams and a newborn child's birthmarks and behaviours to determine its previous identity.¹¹⁹ Although these signs may be

quite striking, they can easily be over-interpreted, and by themselves do not claim much attention from researchers.

Involuntary Memories of Previous Lives

[Involuntary memories](#) of previous lives, which arise spontaneously in the waking state or dreams, are of much greater research interest. Involuntary past-life memories closely resemble involuntary memories of the present life. Past- and present-life memories may be triggered by the same sorts of associations and they are susceptible to the same sorts of errors and distortions. They may be analyzed with the same concepts and terminology.[120](#)

[Past-life memory research](#) is concerned chiefly with involuntary autobiographical memories. These memories may be [reported by adults](#) as well as children, but they tend to come most naturally to young children. Children's past-life memories more often include verifiable information than do the products of hypnosis or the readings of psychics and, upon investigation, are much more likely to be confirmed. This is in part because the recalled lives typically lie in close temporal and spatial proximity to the present life. The children clamor to be taken back to the places they remember having lived, and when their parents oblige, they experience déjà vu. They show their way around, recognize people and behave toward them as the persons they recall having been behaved when alive.[121](#)

When the [previous person](#) is identified, [behavioural](#) and [physical signs](#) the child has displayed may be recognized as corresponding to that person. The child's mother may have had [announcing dreams](#) during her pregnancy, or she may have experienced cravings for foods of which the previous person was fond.[122](#) These past-life memory cases thus include the sorts of signs that have long been recognized as suggestive of reincarnation, but in an integrated, demonstrably veridical manner that make them harder to explain except as genuine expressions of reincarnation.

Research on Reincarnation

Ian Stevenson and his Successors

[Ian Stevenson](#) completed his [MD](#) degree at [McGill University](#) in Montreal in 1943 and worked in different areas of medicine before entering psychiatric training in the 1950s. He was hired as Chairman of the Department of Psychiatry at the University of Virginia in 1957. Dissatisfied with medicine's understanding of human personality and the roots of individual difference, he became interested in [parapsychology](#), although he was out of step with the [experimental parapsychology](#) then in vogue in America. He was more in sympathy with an older style of research ([psychical research](#)) that focused on spontaneous experiences and the evidence for the survival of consciousness after death.[123](#)

In his reading during this period, Stevenson came across numerous accounts of past-life memory. Before this time, psychical research had concentrated on mediumship and apparitions, with little attention to past-life memory. When the [American Society for Psychical Research](#) announced a contest for the best paper on

the topic of postmortem survival, he brought his cases together and analyzed them in a contribution that won the contest. In that paper, 'The evidence for survival from claimed memories of former incarnations,' published in 1960, Stevenson reported having found 44 cases in which the past-life memories were associated with a specific previous person. In 28 of the cases, the subjects had made at least six correct statements about the previous life. [124](#)

In 1961, Stevenson received a grant from the [Parapsychology Foundation](#) to study new cases in India and Ceylon (now Sri Lanka). As a pioneer in this research, he had to develop an appropriate methodology, for which he drew on the practices of psychical researchers in studying apparitions and other apparently paranormal spontaneous events. He concentrated on the case subject and first-hand witnesses to what the subject had said or done, and if the previous person had been identified, did the same on the previous person's side. If the previous person had not yet been identified, he sought to do so himself. Stevenson collected supporting written documents such as death certificates and autopsy reports whenever possible. His was a case study approach, but his techniques elevated it above the collection of mere anecdotes. He realized the significance of what he was doing and made every effort to follow all leads and document the cases as well as he could. [125](#)

Over the next few years Stevenson studied additional cases of past-life memory in Lebanon, Brazil and Alaska. By 1966, he was acquainted with over 200 cases, from which he selected twenty for his now-classic *Twenty Cases Suggestive of Reincarnation*. This was only the beginning of his research programme, however. A second edition of the book with follow-up information on eighteen of the twenty child subjects was issued by the University Press of Virginia in 1974. [126](#) Stevenson went on to publish nine other books about reincarnation, one in two volumes, along with numerous journal papers. [127](#) Altogether, he reported over 300 cases, many at the length of thirty pages or more. Over the years, he was joined by colleagues, most notably clinical psychologist [Satwant Pasricha](#), research psychologists [Erlendur Haraldsson](#) and [Jürgen Keil](#), anthropologist [Antonia Mills](#), and child psychiatrist [Jim B Tucker](#), all of whom investigated and reported their own cases. The case collection at Stevenson's research division, now named the [Division of Perceptual Studies](#), has continued to grow, even as some less-developed cases have been purged. As of 2013, it included about 2500 cases, of which 68% or 1700 had identified previous persons. [128](#) Stevenson and his colleagues call these 'solved' cases.

Universal, Near-Universal and Culture-Linked Patterns

From the start, Stevenson realized that he was learning about far more cases than he could study competently and write about in detail, but he set about collecting basic information on as many as possible so that he could search for patterns across large groups of cases. Some of the patterns he identified may be regarded as universal or near-universal, whereas others are more closely culture-linked. Of the former group, some relate to the case subject, whereas others concern the previous person. [129](#)

One of the strongest universal patterns is the young age at which children speak about previous lives. The majority of children in every culture begin between the

ages of two and five years, although the first reference to the previous life may be made as early as eighteen months. Most children stop speaking about their memories after a few years, and they seem to have faded from conscious awareness.¹³⁰ The fading of the memories, which generally occurs between ages five and eight, was once assumed to be a near-universal feature of the cases. However, in follow-up studies in Sri Lanka¹³¹ and Lebanon¹³², Haraldsson found that as many as a third of children retained memories past that age, at least into young adulthood, when they were interviewed.

Most children remember having died close to where they were born. Long-distance cases (with distances greater than fifty kilometers, or 31 miles, from the place of death to the place of birth) occur in larger countries such as India, Turkey and the United States, but cases that cross international boundaries are unusual, and solved international cases are rare.¹³³ Most children who recall previous lives do so in the waking state, with no apparent alteration of consciousness. Sometimes memories come in dreams or nightmares, but there are usually waking memories as well. With older subjects, dreams and other altered states become more important in connection to past-life memories.¹³⁴

Of universal and near-universal patterns related to the previous person of a case, one of the most important is the manner in which that person died. Violent deaths —by accident, murder, suicide, during war, and so on—figured in 51% of solved cases and were claimed in 61% of unsolved cases according to a 1983 study.¹³⁵ With natural deaths, age at death is important: The younger a person is when he dies a natural death, the more likely his life is to be recalled later.¹³⁶ This does not necessarily mean that those who die young are more likely to reincarnate quickly, however. Premature deaths – by violence or illness – are lives cut short, and might produce the sense of things left undone, an effect Stevenson termed ‘unfinished’ or ‘continuing business.’ He noted some sort of continuing business in the great majority of his cases.¹³⁷

Several other case features, although not exactly culture-bound, have been found to be closely culture-linked. These features include how often cases occur and are solved; the rate of sex change between lives; the relationship between the subject and the previous person and the length of the period between lives. The latter three variables are related to beliefs about the reincarnation process in the cultures in question. One of the strongest is the relation between beliefs in the possibility of changing sex and cases in which there is a reported change of sex. This topic is covered at greater length in [Patterns in Reincarnation Cases](#).

Unlearned Languages and Other Skills

Children who recall previous lives not only talk about what they remember. Some express their memories in their play¹³⁸ or display behaviours characteristic of the persons they claim to have been before. In the most dramatic cases, there are constellations of behaviour that may be described as behavioural syndromes (see [Behavioural Memories in Reincarnation Cases](#)). At the extreme, children exhibit skills they have not acquired in the present lives. Corliss Chotkin, Jr, a Tlingit Indian boy, had a knack with boat engines,¹³⁹ and Paulo Lorenz, a Brazilian boy, was adept at using his deceased sister’s sewing machine.¹⁴⁰ To date, there have

been reports of only two children with past-life memories who may be described as prodigies, both in sports: [Hunter](#) (pseudonym) in golf,^{[141](#)} and [Christian Haupt](#) in American baseball.^{[142](#)}

Children's unlearned skills may include language skills, or [xenoglossy](#). Researchers recognize three types of xenoglossy: responsive, recitative, and passive. Responsive xenoglossy is the ability to comprehend and converse in an unlearned language; recitative xenoglossy is the rote use of unlearned words; and passive xenoglossy is the unconscious influence of an unlearned language on speech production. Responsive xenoglossy has been claimed for regressions under hypnosis as well as involuntary memories of previous lives, but it manifests more clearly in spontaneous cases. For discussion of the three types of xenoglossy, with examples, see [Xenoglossy in Reincarnation Cases](#).

Physical Signs of Reincarnation

Perhaps surprisingly, there are [many ways in which reincarnation may be expressed physically](#). One is through [birthmarks](#). Many birthmarks in reincarnation cases reflect fatal wounds,^{[143](#)} but they may also commemorate the scars of healed wounds, as in the Gilyak example cited earlier, and many other things, among them rope indentations, tattoos, styes, and ulcers.^{[144](#)} There are several instances of birthmarks on the lobes or helixes of the ears in the locations of earring holes.^{[145](#)} Apparently anything of significance to the previous person can be imprinted on the new body in the form of a birthmark.^{[146](#)}

Birthmarks are not the only physical features involved in reincarnation. Many cases have birth defects that correspond to injuries to the previous person's body. These defects may be internal as well as external. An American boy who recalled being a policeman who died after being shot in the chest was born with severe heart disease.^{[147](#)} Other physical signs relate to what may be regarded as the previous person's core identity. Girls who remember being boys or men may be of relatively large stature and their menarche may be delayed.^{[148](#)} Asian children who claim to have been British or American are often physically larger than other children in their families, have eyes of the European shape, and in their complexion are virtual if not actual albinos.^{[149](#)} Equally striking are physical differences between monozygotic twins. [Gillian and Jennifer Pollock](#) closely resembled each other when young, but only Jennifer had birthmarks. One matched a scar that had marked the sister whose life she recalled, the result of an accident when she was three (two years before her death) and another was a mole on her waist where she had had a mole.^{[150](#)}

Birthmarks and birth defects may be planned and deliberately induced. A Tlingit man said before his death that he would be recognized by certain marks on his next body and these appeared on Corliss Chotkin, Jr, who recalled events from his life.^{[151](#)} Throughout eastern Asia, from India to Japan, cadavers may be marked with the intent of stimulating birthmarks on the body of the next life. The birthmarks that correspond to these marks are called [experimental birthmarks](#). In West Africa, a family that has lost several children in a row sometimes mutilate the body of the last to die in order to prevent it from dying young again and corresponding defects turn up on the body of the next child born into the family,

which often lives into adulthood. More information on these practices is contained in [Experimental Birthmarks and Birth Defects](#).

The Intermission between Lives

About 20% of children who recall previous lives talk about events they say occurred between lives, the period researchers call the [intermission](#). [Intermission memories](#) have a similar structure cross-culturally, but there are both similarities and differences in content.

The intermission may be broken down into five stages, the first three of which are similar to the stages of the [near-death experience](#).¹⁵² The first stage is a transitional stage following death, generally lasting until the body is buried, cremated, or disposed of in some fashion. The second stage is more stable and often passes in a fixed location. The third stage involves choosing parents for the new life. The fourth stage covers the period of gestation in the womb, and the fifth, birth and its immediate aftermath.¹⁵³

Although most of what is said about the intermission cannot be confirmed, there may be veridical perceptions of the material world at every stage. Case subjects everywhere talk about seeing and interacting with other spirit entities, who are identified in cultural terms, for instance, as angels in Western countries but as devas or other religious figures in Asian countries. The most striking difference, however, is in where the intermission transpires. Whereas Westerners imagine it taking place in a Heaven above, Asians describe it as passing in realistic terrestrial setting.¹⁵⁴ In tribal societies, the afterlife tends to pass in a place across a barrier, such as a river, on the terrestrial plane, or beneath the surface of the earth.¹⁵⁵

The Psychology of Past-Life Memory

Researchers have examined the [psychology of children with past-life memories](#) in comparison with their peers without past-life memories and looked at how the memories change as the case subjects age. Factors on the sides of both the previous person and the case subject come into play in past-life memory.

Psychological studies using standard psychological testing instruments have found that children with past-life memories are no more suggestible than their peers and although they have some dissociative tendencies (they tend to have rapid changes in personality and daydream more), these are not pathological. Children with past-life memories have a higher level of cognitive functioning and do better than their peers in school; as a group, they are gifted children.

These results have emerged from studies in the United States¹⁵⁶ as well as in Sri Lanka¹⁵⁷ and Lebanon.¹⁵⁸ However, children in Sri Lanka and among the Druze in Lebanon are reported by their families to have more behavioural problems than their peers. They are more nervous and stubborn, argue more, and tend to be more perfectionistic. Erlendur Haraldsson, who conducted these studies, observes that many of these traits are symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder, consistent with the fact of a large number of violent-death cases in these cultures. In Lebanon, 80% of the children recalled lives that ended suddenly and violently (mostly

accidents, but also war-related deaths and murder).¹⁵⁹ The same psychological problems were not observed in the United States, where there would have been a much lower incidence of deaths from war and murder.¹⁶⁰ There may, however, be phobias associated with violent deaths in all societies.¹⁶¹

As they grow older, children with past-life memories become better adjusted. Teachers do not report the same social difficulties parents do. Although these issues need to be clarified in future research, it appears that the problems may recede as the children grow older, leaving longer-term benefits.¹⁶²

Subjects begin speaking about previous lives at different ages, most often at two to three years, but developmental factors are involved in their expression. Past-life memories at all ages may be triggered by things the subject sees or hears, but these cues are more evident and appear to play a bigger role with older subjects.¹⁶³ The older subjects are when they start speaking of the past life, the less well developed the memories tend to be, and the more likely they are to arise in dreams or other altered states of consciousness.¹⁶⁴

Factors affecting past-life memory besides the manner of death of the previous person include the sense of unfinished business, such as women who die leaving young children in need of care, businessmen who die with unpaid or uncollected debts, and persons who die without having told others where valuables are hidden.¹⁶⁵ The latter category, called [buried treasure](#) by researchers, is especially interesting, because these valuables are sometimes still hidden when children show where they may be found.¹⁶⁶ Other factors on the previous persons' side are mental ones. Natural deaths in old age are often associated with meditation.¹⁶⁷ Birthmarks are less likely to appear on a case subject when the previous person was inebriated at the time he died.¹⁶⁸

Criticisms of Reincarnation Research

Subjective Illusion of Significance / Patternicity

Sceptical philosopher Leonard Angel maintains that Stevenson and other researchers 'have not even attempted to show that there is anything that needs to be explained' in the reincarnation cases they have studied.¹⁶⁹ 'One should try to determine whether the sorts of correspondences found between a living person's verbal memory claims and the facts about a purportedly reincarnated deceased person defy chance expectations. If they do, there is something that needs to be explained. But if they don't, then there is nothing to be explained'.¹⁷⁰ Angel would like to see 'controlled experimental work' designed to rule out what he calls the 'subjective illusion of significance'.

Angel illustrates the subjective illusion of significance by noting that he found no fewer than 21 facts about Stevenson's life mentioned in his obituary in the [New York Times](#).¹⁷¹ that are true for him as well as for Stevenson: Both were born in Montreal, both attended McGill as undergraduates, both were married twice, and so forth. The 'controlled experimental work' Angel has in mind would contrast results of this sort of comparison with the results of comparisons of a subject's statements and the deceased person identified as his predecessor. One would have shown that

there was something to be explained only if blind judges rated the correspondences of the former group as 'at least as good as' the correspondences of the latter group and if the judges tended 'to ask for special explanations of the correspondences' in the latter group more often than in the former group.[172](#)

Angel's subjective illusion of significance is akin to [Michael Shermer's patternicity](#) — 'the tendency to find meaningful patterns in both meaningful and random noise.'[173](#) This is a real psychological phenomenon, and Angel is right to demand that it be ruled out. However, he does not appear to appreciate that a case subject's statements must be considered as a totality, along with any errors, and not in isolation from each other. [Antonia Mills](#) attempted to assign probabilities to several statements made by an Indian boy, Ajendra Singh Chauhan, but gave up the task when she realized that she needed to estimate not only the probability of individual statements, but all of them in combination.[174](#)

Additionally, a child's behaviours and physical features must be taken into account. The entire constellation of evidence must show a good fit for a case to be considered solved. In a review of *Reincarnation and Biology*, Angel spends a lot of time discussing problems he has identified with Stevenson's many tables, but he does not pause to consider any of the 225 cases in the book in their entirety. Rather, he accuses Stevenson of 'backwards reasoning' in all of his cases, because he admits to having reasoned backwards from birthmarks in a few instances.[175](#) Shermer's observation that one is sure to find a correspondence somewhere if one examines a large enough sample[176](#) misses the mark entirely: In the research of Stevenson and his colleagues, the sample has already been narrowed to one person on the basis of statements and behaviours before correspondences between wounds and birthmarks are assessed. Shermer states that 'one does not need to read deep into the literature to see [the process of identifying the previous person] as a classic case of *patternicity*',[177](#) but therein lies the problem for critics: One cannot properly evaluate the reincarnation case data unless one reads past a superficial level and takes all the facts into consideration. The patternicity appears to Shermer precisely because he has not read deeply. In general, sceptical charges against Stevenson fail not because they have no foundation, but because they are exaggerated and over-generalized and do not take into account the full range of his research methods and findings.[178](#)

Researcher Ineptitude

The first sustained critique of Stevenson's methods was made in a private assessment prepared for him by a research assistant, Champe Ransom, in the early 1970s. An abbreviated version of the Ransom Report, as it has come to be called in sceptical circles,[179](#) has now been published,[180](#) and we can see what it says.

The first thing to be noted is that Ransom's comments are confined to a reading of the first edition of *Twenty Cases Suggestive of Reincarnation*,[181](#) so they are more properly a critique of Stevenson's write-up than of his field technique.[182](#) For instance, 'often the case reports are lacking in the details of when the statements (of a subject or witness) were made and in what context and to whom'.[183](#) Other points turn on hypotheticals, e.g., 'Leading questions may have been used'.[184](#) Ransom raises some serious concerns, including subtle distortions of memory over time, the

need to work through interpreters and problems attendant with spending only brief periods with witnesses. However, these issues would carry more weight if they were ones Stevenson had not previously considered, yet he acknowledged and addressed these and many other potential pitfalls in the opening chapter of *Twenty Cases*, well before Ransom brought them to his attention.¹⁸⁵

The force of Ransom's charges is reduced also by their generality.¹⁸⁶ It would have been more helpful had he cited places in the book where the deficiencies were evident. In this, Rogo does a better job than Ransom. Drawing on four additional volumes of case reports Stevenson had published by 1983, Rogo centers his attention on four cases in which he has detected problems.¹⁸⁷ The first of these is the case of Mounzer Haïdar.¹⁸⁸ In investigating this case, Stevenson first sketched the location of a birthmark on the right side of the subject's abdomen. When he subsequently interviewed the previous person's mother, he asked her where he had been shot, and she pointed to the right side of her abdomen. Stevenson then showed her his sketch, and the woman said that the wound was in the place marked. This indicates to Rogo that Stevenson sometimes leads his witnesses, because he did not ask the woman to sketch the place the bullet had entered her son's body before showing her his sketch.¹⁸⁹

The second case criticized by Rogo is the case of Mallika Aroumougam from *Twenty Cases*. Rogo charges that this case indicates that Stevenson 'sometimes deletes important information when writing his reports' because Stevenson does not mention that the subject's father and grandfather publicly refuted a reincarnation interpretation of the case, or that he used one informant (the previous person's brother-in-law) as an interpreter to interview another informant (the subject's father) without stating clearly that he had done so.¹⁹⁰ Stevenson admitted that the investigation and reporting could have been better handled, but pointed out that neither the father nor the grandfather were witness to any of Mallika's statements or behaviours, so their opinions were irrelevant in judging the facts of the case.¹⁹¹

Rogo's other complaints, referring to the cases of [Imad Elawar](#) and Uttara Huddar ([Sharada](#)) are similarly insignificant and Rogo admits that his criticisms are 'very trivial'.¹⁹² Another of Stevenson's early critics, [Ian Wilson](#) raises the question of whether Stevenson could have been fooled by his subjects and informants. He notes that Stevenson is sensitive to this possibility, but considers that he has been too quick to dismiss dissident witnesses. Dissident witnesses appear in very few of Stevenson's cases, however, and Wilson is forced to conclude that there are 'considerable numbers of his cases where such an interpretation cannot be justified'.¹⁹³

More recently, Angel has severely criticized Stevenson for his handling of the Imad Elawar case.¹⁹⁴ This case was unsolved when Stevenson reached it but Imad's parents, in an effort to make sense of what he was saying, had strung his statements together in a way that turned out to be mistaken. What should be a strength of the case – the written record made before verification – is problematic for Angel, who believes that Stevenson selected which information to credit and which not. Nonetheless, in a careful re-evaluation of Imad's early statements, Julio Barros shows that the things Imad said before Stevenson began his investigation

are sufficient to identify the previous person and supports Stevenson's interpretation of the case over Angel's.[195](#)

Cultural Conditioning

According to American sceptic [Keith Augustine](#), 'The fact that the vast majority of Stevenson's cases come from countries where a religious belief in reincarnation is strong, and rarely elsewhere, seems to indicate that [cultural conditioning](#) (rather than reincarnation) generates claims of spontaneous past-life memories'.[196](#) This common conclusion reveals how superficial an acquaintance most critics have with the case data. There are fewer strong reincarnation cases reported from Western than Asian countries, but it would be wrong to call Western cases rare. Muller,[197](#) Stevenson,[198](#) and Tucker,[199](#) have reported solved Western cases. Furthermore, in the West, cases do not occur only among sub-cultures with a belief in reincarnation, as is sometimes alleged.[200](#)

A more sophisticated version of the cultural conditioning argument holds that because certain features of the cases, such as sex-change, are correlated with beliefs in a given culture, the cases must be a product of cultural demands.[201](#) However, a closer inspection of the data finds this idea wanting also. The Druze believe that one is reborn immediately upon death, into the body of a child born at that moment, but although the median intermission length in solved Druze cases is shorter than most other cultures – six to eight months long – it is not immediate. No cases of immediate reincarnation have been reported among the Druze. The Druze response to this awkward situation is to assert that there must have been brief lives that were not recalled.[202](#) The Druze harmonize their beliefs to their cases, not their cases to their beliefs.[203](#)

In a survey in northern India, Satwant Pasricha and David Barker discovered that information about cases rarely travelled far and therefore could not serve as a model for other cases. Many cases had unique characteristics that could not be explained on the diffusion hypothesis, in any event.[204](#) In a separate study, Pasricha found that Indians unfamiliar with cases held expectations about case characteristics that differed from what those of actual cases.[205](#)

Stevenson proposed a different way of understanding why case features sometimes reflect cultural ideals. If the mind survives death, it would be natural for the beliefs and expectations held in life to be carried into death. A person who died believing he could not change sex in his next life might avoid doing so.[206](#) The same principle could explain certain other patterns, such as the tendency for cases to occur in family lines much more often in tribal cultures than elsewhere.[207](#)

Social Construction

[Social construction](#) has to do with the way witnesses interpret case features in line with their beliefs. There is no doubt that this happens sometimes, as Stevenson showed in [a series of cases demonstrating delusion and self-delusion](#). Antonia Mills has supplied another example. A thirty-month-old India boy, Sakte Lal, mispronounced some crucial names when he first spoke them. He said he had been named Avari rather than Itwari, that Avari had been murdered by Vishnu rather

than Kishnu, and that he was from Amalpur rather than Jamalpur. His mispronunciations might have been baby talk, but a less charitable view would be that the social construction of his case began with a re-interpretation of the names to make them fit a locally well-known murder.[208](#)

Social construction can figure at later stages of a case as well, especially at the point at which a child's past-life identity is verified in a meeting with the previous person's family. Mills demonstrates this in an analysis of a videotaped series of recognition tests with Satke Lal. Although the boy made a few spontaneous recognitions on tape, more often he was either mistaken or led to the correct answer by onlookers. Despite the obvious coaching, he was judged to have passed the tests, and his past-life identity was considered confirmed. Mills comments on the emotional involvement of all concerned and says that Sakte Lal was consistently addressed as if he were the reincarnation of the putative previous person, reinforcing the identification.[209](#)

Sceptics have often used the case of [Rakesh Gaur](#) as an example of social construction processes, but the evidence is less clear-cut here. Satwant Pasricha and David Barker investigated this case together, but came to different conclusions about it. Barker thought that the identification of the previous person was made by chance, and once made, the memories of informants changed to support this identification. Barker, however, assumes that Rakesh did not use the previous person's name, an issue on which there was some dispute. Had Rakash not used the name when he first met a visitor from the previous person's village, there is no explanation for why the visitor went directly to the family upon returning the village.[210](#) Even if Rakesh did not use the name, however, Pasricha points out that there are three things that all informants agreed he said before he made contact with the previous family (that he was from a certain town, that had been a carpenter, and that he had been electrocuted), and these were sufficient to identify the previous person even without a name.[211](#)

Ian Wilson has suggested that children who speak about having lived in better socioeconomic circumstances in their present lives might be imagining better lives for themselves or 'poor families may have tried to pass off their offspring as reincarnations of dead offspring of the rich'[212](#) but this makes little sense in cultural terms. Most cases with great disparities between lives are in India and Sri Lanka, where according to Hindu and Buddhist reincarnation beliefs, a previous life in better circumstances would imply a karmic demotion into the present life. It seems unlikely that children would reap much benefit from such a claim, much less that their parents would encourage it.[213](#)

Cryptomnesia and Paramnesia

American psychologist David Lester counts [cryptomnesia](#) as a possible explanation for past-life memory,[214](#) but elsewhere acknowledges that 'when the two families are widely separated and not known to each other, this seems unlikely'.[215](#) Another reason cryptomnesia seems unlikely with spontaneous reincarnation cases is that the majority of subjects are young children, who have normally been kept close to home and have not had the exposure to 'normal' sources of information about the people they claim to have been.[216](#)

Nonetheless, Stevenson regularly considered cryptomnesia as a possibility and looked for links, not at first obvious, between the present and previous families. Sometimes he discovered that there had been contacts, even when the two families denied knowing each other, and certainly were not well acquainted. But could these casual contacts—perhaps an overheard conversation—have furnished enough information for a child to develop detailed and accurate memories of a deceased person's life? Stevenson doubted that they could have. Also, he noted, some children demonstrated knowledge of intimate family affairs, or even knew where 'buried treasure' had been hidden. Moreover, as always, it was necessary to explain not only a child's statements about the previous life, but also any behavioural or physical signs matching the previous person.²¹⁷ In the end, it did not seem to Stevenson that cryptomnesia was a factor in any of his reincarnation cases, although it clearly played a role in hypnotic regressions and other areas of parapsychology.²¹⁸

It was not so with other memory disturbances, such as [paramnesia](#), a term often used loosely to indicate any distortion and inaccuracy in memory. Stevenson considered paramnesia to be of great potential importance. He wrote: 'If I were going to coach a critic of these cases, I should advise him to concentrate on whatever evidence he can find of the unreliability of the informants' memories.'²¹⁹ Many critics have in fact done this, but with references to the allegations of Ransom, Rogo, or other critics such as the Indian philosopher [CTK Chari](#),²²⁰ rather than to Stevenson.²²¹

Stevenson showed that memory distortions may occur both with the case subjects and with the adult witnesses to what a child has said. Imad Elawar seems to have partially merged the memory of the truck accident which led to the death of his previous person's cousin and friend with that of a bus accident in which the previous person himself was involved.²²² Swarnlata Mishra at first conflated two past lives she recalled and they only gradually became distinct in her mind.²²³ Rakesh Gaur, also, confused memories of two houses in which the previous person lived and made other mistakes.²²⁴

Adult witnesses may have faulty memories as well, which is one reason that Stevenson interviewed as many first-hand witnesses to a case he could find and went back to them repeatedly over periods of years in order to check for consistency. He reported and evaluated all inconsistencies carefully. Although he acknowledged the possibility of social construction in some cases, he judged this an inadequate explanation for his stronger cases. He was confident that faulty memory and social construction could be ruled out with those cases in which child's statements were recorded in writing before they were verified. A study comparing cases with and without such written records found that more statements were recorded, yet the percentage of correct statements was equally high, in cases with prior written records as in cases without them.²²⁵ In another study, Stevenson and Keil compared cases investigated by Stevenson with the same cases reinvestigated twenty years later by Keil, and found there had been very little change in witness's memories.²²⁶

Philosophical Objections to Reincarnation

The early Christian theologian [Tertullian](#) was the first person to raise one of the most common philosophical objections to reincarnation, that of reconciling it with population growth. It is manifest, Tertullian said, that the dead are formed from the living, but it does not follow therefrom that the living are formed from the dead; if they were, there should be a constant number of people on earth, but then why was the population increasing?²²⁷ Tertullian's assumption that there are a fixed number of souls in circulation may be unwarranted. New human souls might enter the system in several different ways, including being promoted up to human form from nonhuman animals.²²⁸ Even if there were a fixed number of souls, however, population growth would not necessarily be a problem unless the time between intermissions was also fixed, and it is clear from the case data that it is not.²²⁹ [David Bishai](#) has shown that merely tightening up the time between lives is sufficient to allow for population growth²³⁰ and it is interesting to note that the intermission is much shorter in Asian societies with great population density than in Western societies.²³¹

Tertullian also asked, Why do people die at different stages of life, yet always return as infants? Would not those who died in old age pick up where they left off in their next lives?²³² Paul Edwards labels this question 'Tertullian's Objection.'²³³ [Robert Almeder](#) points out that it is not really an objection, unless it is an objection to a particular idea of what reincarnation entails.²³⁴ There is no logical reason that reincarnated persons in new bodies should not have to start anew in those bodies, unless one assumes that reincarnation means the carrying over of one's entire psychology into one's new life. In any event, case studies provide numerous examples of children behaving as if they were the adults they recalled having been. Stevenson referred to this as exhibiting an 'adult attitude'.²³⁵ Examples are [Bongkuch Promsin](#) and [Suleyman Andary](#). Not all child subjects show adult attitudes to the same extent, but it is common for them to be judged more mature than their siblings in their overall bearing.²³⁶ Thus, the answer to Tertullian's question is that its premise is wrong: some children do apparently pick up where they left off.

Tertullian set up a straw-man version of reincarnation, to which he addressed his questions. The same is true of Paul Edwards. As Almeder observes, Edwards attacks a conception of reincarnation that does not match any particular belief system, but appears to be constructed solely for the purpose of ridicule. Edwards assumes that karma is an intrinsic part of reincarnation and that some sort of subtle body is required to convey the 'soul' from one life to another. He finds logical problems with both and thinks that he has thereby disposed of the possibility of reincarnation. However, neither karma nor subtle bodies are required by reincarnation, as evidenced by the many belief systems that make do with neither. A minimalist concept of reincarnation that does not involve these ideas, moreover, receives good support from the case data.²³⁷

Explaining the Reincarnation Evidence

Parental Guidance

Psychiatrist [Eugene Brody](#) proposed ‘culturally influenced unconscious parental selection’ as an alternative way to understand Stevenson’s reincarnation cases.²³⁸ From this perspective, children’s past-life memories reflect a compromised relationship between mother and child that begins in early infancy. Frequent crying and ‘feeding difficulties’ signal ‘partially repressed impulses, wishes or ideas’, the expression of unmet needs. In trying to come to terms with her perceived inadequacies at parenting, a mother turns to her culture’s belief in reincarnation. Her beliefs shape the way she treats her child and are conveyed to him, so that he grows up imagining he has lived before.²³⁹

Psychological studies with children have found no indication of such mother-child tensions, nor can Brody’s scenario explain how children can say true things about people neither they nor their parents have met, or even know exist, before they are tracked down in response to the child’s memory claims.²⁴⁰ Moreover, [parental guidance](#) seems highly unlikely in many cases. Antonia Mills studied 26 cases of what she called ‘half-Moslem’ cases – Hindu children who recalled lives as Muslims or Muslim children who recalled lives as Hindus.²⁴¹ Stevenson and Keil studied 24 Burmese children who claimed to have been Japanese soldiers who died in Burma during the Second World War.²⁴² It seems doubtful that Indian parents would impose another religion on their child or that Burmese parents would want their children to identify with despised occupiers of their country. Satwant Pasricha examined the possibility of parental guidance in two studies, finding support for it in neither.²⁴³ Far from encouraging the development of the cases, many Asian parents attempt to suppress their children’s memories, out of fear that they will lose them to the previous families.²⁴⁴

Parental guidance is assumed by many critics to be an important factor²⁴⁵ in the cases but it is most likely to come into play when the purported previous person is well-known, as in two cases exposed by Stevenson and his colleagues. In one of these, a Turkish Alevi child was identified by his parents as the reincarnation of [John F. Kennedy](#) on the basis of a dream and a birthmark. The boy was named Kenedi and grew up hearing that he was Kennedy reborn. He came to believe it, although he never claimed to have memories of Kennedy’s life. In another case, an Indian child was told by his parents that he was [Mahatma Gandhi](#) reborn. He began to speak of events in Gandhi’s life only in his teens, around the time a teacher caught him reading a book about Gandhi in his school library.²⁴⁶

Psi and Super-Psi

The veridicality of many children’s past-life memories presents a problem for socio-psychological explanations such as social construction and parental guidance. Recognizing this, Stevenson considered the possibility that the children might be using [extra-sensory perception](#) (ESP) to learn about deceased persons. He could not see how ESP alone could account for the behavioural correspondences in many cases and so considered ESP plus personation, the internalization and mobilization of ESP impressions necessary to impersonate the previous person. Even this was not enough to explain the psychological continuity children felt with the previous persons or their use of the first person in narrating their memories. In many cases, the information the children produced did not reside in the mind of any single

living person and so would have had to have been assembled from multiple sources. There was also the question of motivation – why did the child zero in psychically on this particular deceased person rather than another?[247](#)

Another problem with the ESP (or [psi](#)) explanation is that when and how children express their memories more closely resembles memory than psi. Children's memories are often triggered by things they encounter, as occurs often with memory of the present life. Many children have an easier time recognizing people in old photographs than they do people and places that have changed substantially since the previous person's death. Moreover, the children's mistakes suggest memory more than they do psi: It is hard to understand why children should make more errors when deaths are violent if they were employing psi, nor would one expect to find unsolved cases, if psi were at play.[248](#)

These difficulties have not kept some parapsychologically-oriented critics from favoring a psi explanation of the cases. Chari thought that psi might become involved in paramnesia as well as less distorted memories.[249](#) Roll, similarly, believed that he could discern psychic conduits for information in some reincarnation cases.[250](#) However, in order to account for the behavioural and physical features of the cases, psi would have to be not only unusually extensive, but unusually complex. Unusually extensive or complex psi is called [super-psi](#), because it is beyond anything that has been reported in spontaneous cases or demonstrated in laboratory experiments.[251](#)

[Stephen Braude](#), especially, has been keen on arguing for the possibility of a complex super-psi as a way of explaining reincarnation case phenomena. Braude believes that super-psi deployed in altered states of consciousness could explain not only how children are accessing information about deceased persons but also how they are acquiring language and other skills.[252](#) He explains physical features in the following way: After they see the birthmarks on a newborn, members of its family reach out through psi to find a deceased person with bodily features matching those marks, acquire information about that life, and pass it on psychically to the child, shaping his behaviours in the process. Alternatively, a member of the previous person's family psychically locates a child with the appropriate birthmarks, then psychically transfers information about the previous person to him or her.[253](#) These conjectures go well beyond anything that psi is known to achieve and are purely hypothetical.

Maternal Impression

[Maternal impression](#) is the idea that the thoughts and feelings of a pregnant woman can affect the development of the child in her womb. This possibility is dismissed by most modern embryologists, but there appears to be some evidence that a mother's mental images may be imposed on her unborn child.[254](#) Jürgen Keil, among others, takes maternal impression seriously as an explanation for birthmarks and birth defects in reincarnation cases.[255](#) Ian Wilson goes further; he considers it possible that 'a mother's mental traumas, of whatever origin, may be unconsciously transmitted to the unborn child, so that the child takes on what is merely the illusion of past-life memories by identifying itself as the victim of the traumas'.[256](#)

This explanation works best when the mother was aware of a wound or other scar on the previous person and was shocked by it. There are numerous cases in which the subject's mother had no knowledge of the previous person's wounds, much less had she seen them.²⁵⁷ Unless the mother was acquainted with the previous person's circumstances, she would have had to have become aware of them through psi, so this explanation tends to be combined with super-psi to explain physical signs in reincarnation cases.²⁵⁸

Genetic Memory

[Genetic memory](#) is 'a memory present at birth that exists in the absence of sensory experience, and is incorporated into the genome over long spans of time'.²⁵⁹ Genetic memory is sometimes proposed as an explanation for past-life memory, but that is not tenable for many reasons. One is that past-life memories are typically autobiographical memories about a specific previous person, not the sort of instinctive actions that may be encoded in the genome of a species, presumably for the survival advantages they confer. Moreover, in many cases, there are no genetic connections between the children and the persons whose life they remember. Also, genetic memory could not account for memories of deaths, which are very common, nor could it account for memories of persons who died without progeny.²⁶⁰

Caringtonian Models of Postmortem Survival

[Whately Carington](#) was a psychical researcher who studied mediums and wrote theoretical works on telepathy and postmortem survival. He developed a theory of mind as an associative network of ideas and sensa he called 'psychons', linked through psychological forces. Psychon systems survived the death of the body and might connect with other psychon systems through processes of affinity, rather as in [psychometry](#).²⁶¹

Carington used his theory to explain various sorts of psychic and survival phenomena, including mind-to-mind communication, mediumship, and apparitions, but he wrote before Stevenson had begun his research with reincarnation cases and so did not attempt to relate it to past-life memory. Psychologist [Gardner Murphy](#) was the first to apply Carington's psychon theory to Stevenson's cases, based on his reading of *Twenty Cases Suggestive of Reincarnation*. Murphy emphasized elements in the cases that he thought were consistent with Carington's theory, including what he saw as a less than full persistence of personality, with little evidence of the carryover of desires and purposes, 'the characteristic "unfinished business" of life'.²⁶² In his reply, Stevenson noted that this was largely a deficiency in the way he had presented his material. In fact, he said, the cases provided plenty of evidence of a more robust survival of personality, behaviours, and emotions, for which he had been unprepared when he began his research.²⁶³

Despite Stevenson's clarifications, other writers have adopted models of survival similar to Carington's. One of these is WG Roll, whose theory of spatial and temporal relations acting to channel information by ESP is very Caringtonian.²⁶⁴ Another is D Scott Rogo, who despite his criticisms of Stevenson's methods, in the end accepted his data and devised his own Caringtonian interpretation of them.²⁶⁵

The most recent effort along these lines is by Jürgen Keil, who proposed that in 'the last phase of life' bodies might 'emit' 'thought bundles' which could 'independently persist for periods of time and may occasionally be absorbed by a very young child who is not as yet encapsulated within his or her own personality' when the child came into its vicinity.²⁶⁶ There are many problems with Keil's proposal, however, including the fact that many children would not have had the opportunity to come into contact with the previous person's 'thought bundle' and not all people who remember previous lives are young children.²⁶⁷

Reincarnation via a Subtle Body

Stevenson proposed that memories, behaviours and form were conveyed from one life to another via a sort of [subtle](#) or [astral body](#) he called a 'psychophore', a term which means 'soul-bearing'. Physical impressions carried on the psychophore would help shape an embryo or fetus through a field effect when the psychophore moved into 'topical alignment' with it, he thought.²⁶⁸ Memories, behaviours, and physical impressions were reduced in the psychophore and passed on to the new body in a shrunken way, so that memories became fragmentary, skills became aptitudes, wounds no longer bled but might be replaced by birthmarks or other congenital abnormalities.²⁶⁹

Apparently Stevenson was persuaded for philosophical reasons that he had to provide a subtle body to support consciousness between lives. 'A disembodied existence is difficult to conceive, and for me the task has proven close to impossible, as it has for some philosophers who have considered the problem' ²⁷⁰ However, other philosophers have found the subtle body concept problematical,²⁷¹ and it is not clear what happens to the psychophore when reincarnation occurs after conception.²⁷² Stevenson thought it a matter of 'personal preference' whether one classified cases with intermissions of less than nine months as cases of reincarnation or possession²⁷³ and was inconsistent about how he characterized the rare cases in which the body is joined after birth. In his volumes of case reports, he treated these last as variations of reincarnation,²⁷⁴ and once referred to them as 'exchange incarnation' ²⁷⁵ At other times, he called them examples of possession.²⁷⁶

Reincarnation as Possession

[James Matlock](#) has suggested an alternative conception of how reincarnation works. Reincarnation is possession by its nature, in Matlock's view. The reincarnating mind's possession of a body in the womb might occur at any point during gestation but would not necessarily involve the replacement of another mind already associated with the body. When the incoming mind does displace another mind, and remains in control of the body thereafter, Matlock calls this [replacement reincarnation](#). Replacement reincarnation may occur either before or after birth. If the possession is not permanent but transient or temporary, as in mediumistic or [spirit possession](#) or the thirteen-week possession of [Lurancy Vennum](#), Matlock calls this possession. The difference between whether a given case is one of possession or reincarnation is a matter of whether the possession is temporary or long-term.²⁷⁷

Matlock's concept of reincarnation as possession provides a straightforward way of explaining past-life memories as well as the behavioural and physical features of reincarnation cases. Matlock understands memory to be preserved in the subconscious part of the mind, from which, following reincarnation, it can present itself to conscious awareness as past-life memory. He explains the conveyance from one body to another of behavioural and physical features as the products of the mind acting on its new body through [psychokinesis](#), as occurs in psychic healing or what parapsychologists call 'distant mental interactions with living systems' ([DMILS](#)). Stevenson marshalled a great deal of evidence that a mind could directly influence its body,[278](#) which it should be able to do without the mediation of a psychophore, Matlock points out, and if there is no psychophore, one does not have to explain what happens to it when the mind joins the body after conception.[279](#)

James G Matlock

***Psi Encyclopedia* Articles on Reincarnation**

General and Introductory Articles

[Adult Past-Life Memories Research](#)

[Children Who Remember a Previous Life](#)

[Dreams and Past-Life Memory](#)

[Past-Life Memories Illustrated](#)

[Past Life Memories Research \(overview\)](#)

[Patterns in Reincarnation Cases](#)

[Psychological Studies of Children Claiming Past-Life Memories](#)

Case Features

[Announcing Dreams and Related Experiences](#)

[Behavioural Memories in Reincarnation Cases](#)

[Birthmarks](#)

[Experimental Birthmarks and Birth Defects](#)

[Physical Signs in Reincarnation Cases](#)

[Reincarnation and Phobias](#)

[Reincarnation Intermission Memories](#)

[Xenoglossy in Reincarnation Cases](#)

Case Types

[Famous Past Life Claims](#)

[Hidden Treasure in Reincarnation Cases](#)

[International Reincarnation Cases](#)

[Past Life Memories of the Holocaust](#)

[People Who Knew Each Other in Past Lives](#)

[Reincarnation Cases with Sex Changes](#)

[Replacement Reincarnation](#)

[Suicide and Reincarnation](#)

[Tibetan Lamas Reincarnated in the West](#)

[Twins Reincarnation Research](#)

Cultural and Historical Overviews

[American Children Who Remember Previous Lives](#)

[Brazilian Children Who Remember Previous Lives](#)

[Dutch Children Who Remember a Previous Life](#)

[European Children Who Remember Previous Lives](#)

[Native North American Children Who Recall Previous Lives](#)

[Reincarnation Accounts From Before 1900](#)

Case Studies

[Bishen Chand Kapoor](#)

[Bongkuch Promsin](#)

[Dorothy Eady / Omm Sety](#)

[Dilukshi Nassanka](#)

[Duminda Ratnayake](#)

[Gnanatilleka Baddewithana](#)

[Imad Elawar](#)

[İsmail Altınkılıç and Cevriye Bayrı](#)

[Jagdish Chandra](#)

[James Leininger](#)

[Jasbir Lal Jat](#)

[Jenny Cockell](#)

[Jenny Cockell / Mary Sutton](#)

[Mary Sutton/Jenny Cockell](#)

[Kumkum Verma](#)

[Ma Tin Aung Myo](#)

[Marta Lorenz](#)

[Nazih Al-Danaf](#)

[Pollock Twins](#)

[Pretiba Gunawardana](#)

[Purnima Ekanayake](#)

[Rakesh Gaur](#)

[Shiva-Sumitra](#)

[Suleyman Andary](#)

[Sunil Dutt Saxena](#)

[Thusita Silva](#)

[Titu \(Toran Singh\)](#)

[Uttara Huddar/Sharada](#)

[Wael Kiwan](#)

Past-Life Regression (PLR)

[Past Life Regression](#)

[Antonia \(Case Study Analysis\)](#)

[Gretchen](#)

Researchers

[Erlendur Haraldsson](#)

[James G Matlock](#)

[Antonia Mills](#)

[Satwant Pasricha](#)

[Titus Rivas](#)

[Ian Stevenson](#)

[Jim B Tucker](#)

Further Reading

Online Articles

Many academic studies describing reincarnation cases or other aspects of reincarnation research are available online in full text without paywalls, most of them in PDF files. [Go here](#) for a PDF document listing of these items (with hyperlinks) under four headings: Reincarnation Case Studies; Pattern Analyses and Discursive Contributions; Critical Commentary and Response; and Anthropology & Sociology / Reincarnation Beliefs.

Books

Bowman, C. (1997). *Children's Past Lives: How Past Life Memories Affect your Child*. New York: Bantam Books.

Bowman, C. (2001). *Return from Heaven: Beloved Relatives Reincarnated Within your Family*. New York: HarperCollins.

Haraldsson, E., & Matlock, J.G. (2016). *I Saw a Light and Came Here: Children's Experiences of Reincarnation*. Hove, UK: White Crow Books.

Kelly, E. W. (Ed.) (2013). *Science, the Self, and Survival after Death: Selected Writings of Ian Stevenson*. Lanham, Maryland, USA: Rowman & Littlefield.

Matlock, J.G. (2019). *Signs of Reincarnation: Exploring Beliefs, Cases, and Theory*. Lanham, Maryland, USA: Rowman & Littlefield.

Pasricha, S.K. (2008). *Can the Mind Survive Beyond Death? In Pursuit of Scientific Evidence* (2 vols.). New Delhi: Harman Publishing House.

Pasricha, S.K. (2019). *Claims of Reincarnation: An Empirical Study of Cases in India*. Hove, UK: White Crow Books. [Originally published 1990 by Harman Publishing House, New Delhi.]

Playfair, G. L. (2006). *New Clothes for Old Souls: Worldwide Evidence for Reincarnation*. London: Druze Heritage Foundation.

Shroder, T. (1999). *Old Souls: The Scientific Evidence for Past Lives*. New York: Simon & Schuster.

Stevenson, I. (1974). *Twenty Cases Suggestive of Reincarnation* (2nd ed., rev.). Charlottesville, Virginia, USA: University Press of Virginia.

Stevenson, I. (1997). *Where Reincarnation and Biology Intersect*. Westport, Connecticut, USA: Praeger.

Stevenson, I. (2001). *Children who Remember Previous Lives: A Question of Reincarnation* (rev. ed.). Jefferson, North Carolina: McFarland.

Stevenson, I. (2003). *European Cases of the Reincarnation Type*. Jefferson, North Carolina: McFarland.

Tucker, J. B. (2005). *Life before Life: A Scientific Investigation of Children's Memories of a Previous Life*. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Tucker, J. B. (2013). *Return to Life: Extraordinary Cases of Children who Remember Past Lives*. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Literature

Alexakis, A. (2001). Was there life beyond the life beyond? Byzantine ideas on reincarnation and final restoration. *Dumbarton Oaks Papers* 55, 155-77.

Almeder, R. (1997). [A critique of arguments offered against reincarnation](#). *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 11, 499-526.

Angel, L. (1994). Empirical evidence of reincarnation? Examining Stevenson's 'most impressive' case. *Skeptical Inquirer* 18, 481-87.

Angel, L. (2003). Reincarnation all over again: Backwards reasoning in Ian Stevenson's *Reincarnation and Biology*. *Skeptic* 9/3, 86-90.

Angel, L. (2008). Reincarnation: Overview of the work of Ian Stevenson (1918-2007). *The Skeptic* 21/1, 8-14.

Angel, L. (2015). Is there adequate empirical evidence of reincarnation?: An analysis of Ian Stevenson's work. In *The Myth of an Afterlife: The Case against Life after Death*, ed. by M. Martin & K. Augustine, 529-69. Lanham, Maryland, USA: Rowman & Littlefield. ISBN 978-0-8108-8677-3

Appleton, N. (2014). *Narrating Karma and Rebirth: Buddhist and Jain Multi-Life Stories*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. ISBN 978-1-107-03393-1

Augustine, K. (2015). Introduction. In *The Myth of an Afterlife: The Case against Life after Death*, ed. by M. Martin & K. Augustine, 1-47. Lanham, Maryland, USA: Rowman & Littlefield. ISBN 978-0-8108-8677-3

Augustine, K. (n.d.). [The case against immortality](#). The Secular Web. [Web post, accessed 6 July 2018.]

Baker, R.A. (1992). *Hidden Memories: Voices and Visions from Within*. Buffalo, New York, USA: Prometheus Books. ISBN 0-87975-684-5

Barker, D.R., & Pasricha, S.K. (1979). Reincarnation cases in Fatehabad: A systematic survey in north India. *Journal of Asian and African Studies* 14, 231-40.

Barros, J.C.S. (2004). [Another look at the Imad Elawar case: A review of Leonard Angel's critique of this "past life memory case study"](#). [Web post, last modified 5

September 2012.]

Baruřs, I., & Mossbridge, J. (2017). *Transcendent Mind: Rethinking the Science of Consciousness*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association. ISBN 9781433822773

Becker, C.B. (1993). *Breaking the Circle: Death and the Afterlife in Buddhism*. Carbondale, Illinois, USA: Southern Illinois University Press. ISBN

Bigg, C. (1886). *The Christian Platonists of Alexandria: Eight Lectures Preached before the University of Oxford in the Year 1886*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Bishai, D. (2000). [Can population growth rule out reincarnation? A model of circular migration](#). *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 14, 411–20.

Braude, S.E. (2003). *Immortal Remains: The Evidence for Life after Death*. Lanham, Maryland, USA: Rowman & Littlefield.

Brody, E. B. (1979). Review of *Cases of the reincarnation type. Volume II: Ten cases in Sri Lanka* by I. Stevenson. *Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research* 73, 71-81.

Burkert, W. (1972). *Lore and Science in Ancient Pythagoreanism*. Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA: Harvard University Press.

Byrd, C. (2017). *The Boy Who Knew Too Much: An Astounding True Story of a Young Boy's Past-Life Memories*. Carlsbad, California, USA: Hay House.

Carington, W.W. (1945). *Telepathy: An Outline of its Facts, Theory, and Implications*. London: Methuen.

Cerminara, G. (1950). *Many Mansions*. New York: Sloane.

Cerminara, G. (1957). *The World Within*. New York: Sloane.

Chari, C.T.K. (1962a). Paramnesia and reincarnation. *Proceedings of the Society for Psychical Research* 53/193, 264-86.

Chari, C.T.K. (1962b). Paranormal cognition, survival, and reincarnation. *Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research* 61, 158-83.

Chari, C.T.K. (1967). Reincarnation: New light on an old doctrine. *International Journal of Parapsychology* 9, 217-22.

Cohn-Sherbok, D. (1991). Reincarnation in Judaism. In *Reincarnation: Fact or Fable?*, ed. by A. Berger & J. Berger, 199-207. London: Aquarian Press.

Cook, E. W., Pasricha, S., Samararatne, G., Maung U W., & Stevenson, I. (1983). [A review and analysis of "unsolved" cases of the reincarnation type. II: Comparison of features of solved and unsolved cases](#). *Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research* 77, 115-35.

Davis, W.D. (1981). *Societal Complexity and the Nature of Primitive Man's Conception of the Supernatural*. [PhD thesis, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill. Ann Arbor, MI: University Microfilms International.]

Dillon, M., & Chadwick, D. (2003). *The Celtic Realms: The History and the Culture of the Celtic Peoples from Pre-History to the Norman Invasion*. London: Phoenix Press.

Edwards, P. (1996). *Reincarnation: A Critical Examination*. Amherst, NY: Prometheus Books. ISBN 1-57392-005-3. [Reprinted 2001, [ISBN 1-57392-921-2](#)]

Evans-Wentz, W.Y. (1911/2007). *The Fairy-Faith in Celtic Countries*. New York: Forgotten Books.

Flew, A. (1972). Is there a case for disembodied survival? *Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research* 66, 129-44. [Reprinted 1976 in *Philosophical Dimensions of Parapsychology*, ed. by J.M.O. Wheatley & H.L. Edge, 330-47. Springfield, Illinois, USA: Charles C Thomas.]<

Fürer-Haimendorf, C. von (1953). The after-life in Indian tribal belief. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 83, 37-49.

George, M. I. (1996). Aquinas on reincarnation, *The Thomist* 60/1, 33-52. doi:[10.1353/tho.1996.0035](#)

Griffin, D.R. (1997). *Parapsychology, Philosophy, and Spirituality: A Postmodern Exploration*. Albany, New York, USA: State University of New York Press.

Hamerton-Kelly, R.G. (1973). *Pre-Existence, Wisdom, and the Son of Man*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Haraldsson, E. (1997). [A psychological comparison between ordinary children and those who claim previous-life memories](#). *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 11, 323-35.

Haraldsson, E. (2003). [Children who speak of a past life experience: Is there a psychological explanation?](#) *Psychology and Psychotherapy* 76, 55-67.

Haraldsson, E. (2006). [Popular psychology, belief in life after death and reincarnation in the Nordic countries, Western and Eastern Europe](#) *Nordic Psychology* 58/2, 171-80.

Haraldsson, E. (2008). [Persistence of past-life memories: Study of adults who claimed in their childhood to remember a past life](#) *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 19, 385-93.

Haraldsson, E., & Abu-Izzeddin, M. (2012). Persistence of “past-life” memories in adults who, in their childhood, claimed memories of a past life. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease* 200, 985-89. doi: [10.1097/NMD.0b013e3182718c51](#).

Haraldsson, E., Fowler, P. C., & Periyannanpillai, V. (2000). [Psychological characteristics of children who speak of a previous life: A further field study in Sri Lanka](#). *Transcultural Psychiatry* 37, 525-44.

Haraldsson, E., & Matlock, J.G. (2016). *I Saw a Light and Came Here: Children's Experiences of Reincarnation*. Hove, UK: White Crow Books. ISBN 978-1-910121-92-4

Head, J., & Cranston, S. L. (1967). *Reincarnation in World Thought*. New York: Julian Press.

Hines, T. (1988). *Pseudoscience and the Paranormal: A Critical Examination of the Evidence*. Buffalo, New York, USA: Prometheus Press.

Irwin, L. (2017a). Reincarnation in America: A brief historical overview. *Religions* 8/222. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel8100222>

Irwin, L. (2017b). *Reincarnation in America: An Esoteric History*. Lanham, Maryland, USA Lexington Books. ISBN

Jefferson, W. (2008). *Reincarnation Beliefs of North American Indians: Soul Journeys, Metamorphoses and Near-Death Experiences*. Summertown, Tennessee, USA: Native Voices. [ISBN 978-1-57067-212-5](https://doi.org/10.1080/15458610802222222).

Kaplan, A. (1979). Introduction. In *The Bahir*, trans. by A. Kaplan. York Beach, Maine, USA: Samuel Weiser.

Kastrup, B. (2014). *Why Materialism is Baloney: How True Skeptics Know There is no Death and Fathom Answers to Life, the Universe, and Everything*. Winchester, UK: Iff Books.

Keil, J. (2010). Questions of the reincarnation type. *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 2, 79-99.

Kelly E.F., Crabtree, A., & Marshall, P. (eds.) (2015). *Beyond Physicalism: Toward Reconciliation of Science and Spirituality*. Lanham, Maryland, USA: Rowman & Littlefield. ISBN 978-1-4422-3238-9

Keyes, C.F., & Daniel, E.V. (eds.) (1983). *Karma: An Anthropological Inquiry*. Berkeley, California, USA: University of California Press.

Kinglsey, P. (2010). *A Story Waiting to Pierce You: Mongolia, Tibet, and the Destiny of the Western World*. Point Reyes, California, USA: Golden Sufi Center.

Koons, R.C., & Bealer, G. (2010). *The Waning of Materialism*. Oxford, UK: University Press. ISBN 978-0199556199

Krishan, Y. (1997). *The Doctrine of Karma: Its Origin and Development in Brahmanical, Buddhist and Jaina Traditions*. Dehli: Motilil.

Larrington, C. (trans.) (2014). *The Poetic Edda (2nd ed.)*. New York: Oxford University Press

Layton, B. (1989). *The significance of Basilides in ancient Christian thought*. *Representations* 28, 135-55. [doi:10.2307/2928589](https://doi.org/10.2307/2928589)

Lester, D. (2005). *Is There Life after Death? An Examination of the Empirical Evidence*. Jefferson, North Carolina, USA: McFarland.

Lester, D. (2015). Is there life after death? A review of the supporting evidence. In *The Myth of an Afterlife: The Case against Life after Death*, ed. by M. Martin & K. Augustine, 631-49. Lanham, Maryland, USA: Rowman & Littlefield.

Lewis, H.S. (1956). *Mansions of the Soul: The Cosmic Conception* (8th ed.) (Rosicrucian Library, vol. XI). San Jose, California, USA: Supreme Grand Lodge of AMORC.

MacGregor, G. (1978). *Reincarnation in Christianity: A New Vision of the Role of Rebirth in Christian Thought*. Wheaton, Illinois, USA: Theosophical Publishing House.

Mandair, A.-P.S. (2013). *Sikhism: A Guide for the Perplexed*. London: Bloomsbury Academic. ISBN 978-1-4411-5366-1.

Matlock, J.G. (1989). [Age and stimulus in past life memory cases: A study of published cases](#). *Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research* 83, 303-16.

Matlock, J.G. (1990a). [Of names and signs: Reincarnation, inheritance and social structure on the Northwest Coast](#). *Anthropology of Consciousness* 1/3-4, 9-18.

Matlock, J.G. (1990b). [Past life memory case studies](#). In *Advances in Parapsychological Research* 6, ed. by S. Krippner, 184-267. Jefferson, North Carolina: McFarland.

Matlock, J.G. (1993). [A Cross-Cultural Study of Reincarnation Ideologies and their Social Correlates](#). [MA thesis, Hunter College, City University of New York.]

Matlock, J.G. (1995). [Death symbolism in matrilineal societies: A replication study](#). *Cross-Cultural Research* 29, 158-77.

Matlock, J. G. (2011). [Ian Stevenson's Twenty Cases Suggestive of Reincarnation: An Historical Review and Assessment](#). *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 25, 789-820.

Matlock, J. G. (2017). [Historical near-death and reincarnation-intermission experiences of the Tlingit Indians: Case studies and theoretical reflections](#). *Journal of Near-Death Studies* 35/4, 214-41.

Matlock, J.G. (2019). *Signs of Reincarnation: Exploring Beliefs, Cases, and Theory*. Lanham, Maryland, USA: Rowman & Littlefield.

Matlock, J. G., & Giesler-Petersen, I. (2016). [Asian versus Western intermission memories: Universal features and cultural variations](#). *Journal of Near-Death Studies* 35/1, 3-29.

Matlock, J. G., & Mills, A. (1994). [A trait index to North American Indian and Inuit reincarnation](#). In *Amerindian Rebirth: Reincarnation Belief among North American Indians and Inuit*, ed. by A. Mills & R. Sobodin, 299-356. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

McEvilley, T. (2002). *The Shape of Ancient Thought: Comparative Studies in Greek and Indian Philosophies*. New York: Alsworth Press.

Melton, J.G. (1994). [Edgar Cayce and reincarnation: Past life readings as religious symbology](#). *Syzygy: Journal of Alternative Religion and Culture* 3/1-2.

Mills, A. (1990). [Moslem cases of the reincarnation type in Northern India: A test of the hypothesis of imposed identification](#) (Parts 1 and 2). *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 4, 171-202.

Mills, A. (1994). Rebirth and identity: Three Gitksan cases of pierced-ear birthmarks. In *Amerindian Rebirth: Reincarnation Belief among North American Indians and Inuit*, ed. by A. Mills & R. Sobodin, 211-41. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Mills, A. (2003). Are children with imaginary playmates and children said to remember previous lives cross-culturally comparable categories? *Transcultural Psychiatry* 40, 63-91. doi.org/10.1177/1363461503040001005

Mills, A. (2004). [Inferences from the case of Ajendra Singh Chauhan: The effect of parental questioning, of meeting the “previous life” family, an aborted attempt to quantify probabilities, and the impact on his life as a young adult](#). *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 18, 609-41.

Mills, A., & Sobodin, R. (eds.) (1994). *Amerindian Rebirth: Reincarnation Belief among North American Indians and Inuit*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Mills, A., & Tucker, J.B. (2013). Past-life experiences. In *Varieties of Anomalous Experience: Examining the Scientific Evidence* (2nd ed.), ed. by E. Cardena, S. J. Lynn, & S. Krippner, 303-32. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Muller, K.E. (1970). *Reincarnation—Based on Facts*. London: Psychic Press.

Murphy, G. (1973). A Caringtonian approach to Ian Stevenson's *Twenty Cases Suggestive of Reincarnation*. *Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research* 67, 17-29.

Nutall, M. (1994). The name never dies: Greenland Inuit ideas of the person. In *Amerindian Rebirth: Reincarnation Belief among North American Indians and Inuit* ed. by A. Mills & R. Sobodin, 123-35. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Obeyesekere, G. (1980). The rebirth eschatology and its transformations: A contribution to the sociology of early Buddhism. In *Karma and Rebirth in Classical Indian Traditions*, ed. by W.D. O'Flaherty, 137-64. Berkeley, California, USA: University of California Press.

Obeyesekere, G. (2002). *Imagining Karma: Ethical Transformation in Amerindian, Buddhist, and Greek Rebirth*. Berkeley, California, USA: University of California Press.

O'Flaherty, W.D. (ed.) (1980). *Karma and Rebirth in Classical Indian Traditions*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Ogren, B. (2009). *Renaissance and Rebirth: Reincarnation in Early Modern Italian Kabbalah* (Studies in Jewish History and Culture, vol. 24). Leiden, the Netherlands: Brill. ISBN 978 90 04 17764 2

Ohkado, M., & Okamoto, S. (2014, February). A case of xenoglossy under hypnosis. *Edge Science* 17, 7-12.

Onyewuenyi, I.C. (2009). *African Belief in Reincarnation: A Philosophical Reappraisal*. BookSurge Publishing. ISBN (Originally published 1982 as 'A philosophical reappraisal of African belief in reincarnation' in *International Philosophical Quarterly* 22, 157-168.

Oxford University Press. *Oxford English Dictionary, Compact Edition* (1977). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Pasricha, S.K. (1983). New information favoring a paranormal interpretation in the case of Rakesh Gaur. *European Journal of Parapsychology* 5, 77-85. [Reprinted 2008 in S. K. Pasricha, *Can the Mind Survive Beyond Death? In Pursuit of Scientific Evidence*, vol. 1, 271-81. New Delhi: Harman Publishing House. ISBN 81-86622-93-4.]

Pasricha, S.[K.] (1990). Three conjectured features of reincarnation type cases in north India: Responses of persons unfamiliar with actual cases. *Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research* 84, 227-33. [Reprinted 2008 in S. K. Pasricha, *Can the Mind Survive Beyond Death? In Pursuit of Scientific Evidence*, vol. 1, 63-72. New Delhi: Harman Publishing House. ISBN 81-86622-93-4.]

Pasricha, S. K. (1998). [Cases of the reincarnation type in northern India with birthmarks and birth defects](#). *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 12, 259-93.

Pasricha, S.[K.] (1992). [Are reincarnation type cases shaped by parental guidance? An empirical study concerning the limits of parents' influence on children](#). *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 6, 167-180.

Pasricha, S.K. (2011). [Do attitudes of families concerned influence features of children who claim to remember previous lives?](#) *Indian Journal of Psychiatry* 53/1, 21-24. doi:10.4103/0019-5545.75554

Pasricha, S.K., & Barker, D.R. (1981). A case of the reincarnation type in India: The case of Rakesh Gaur. *European Journal of Parapsychology* 3, 381-408. [Reprinted 2008 in S. K. Pasricha, *Can the Mind Survive Beyond Death? In Pursuit of Scientific Evidence*, vol. 1, 237-69. New Delhi: Harman Publishing House. ISBN 81-86622-93-4.]

Pasricha, S.K., Keil, J., Tucker, J.B., & Stevenson, I. (2005). [Some bodily malformations attributed to previous lives](#). *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 19, 359-83.

Pinson, D. (1999). *Reincarnation and Judaism: The Journey of the Soul*. Northvale, New Jersey, USA: Jason Aronson. ISBN 0-7657-6064-9

Ransom, C. (2015). A critique of Ian Stevenson's rebirth research. In *The Myth of an Afterlife: The Case against Life after Death*, ed. by M. Martin & K. Augustine, 639-

644. Lanham, Maryland, USA: Rowman & Littlefield. ISBN 978-0-8108-8677-3
- Rogo, D.S. (1985). *The Search for Yesterday: A Critical Examination of the Evidence for Reincarnation*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, USA: Prentice-Hall.
- Roll, W.G. (1982). The changing perspective on life after death. In *Advances in Parapsychological Research* 3, ed. by S. Krippner, 147-291. New York: Plenum.
- Schibli, H.S. (1990). *Pherekydes of Syros*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Schouten, S., & Stevenson, I. (1998). [Does the socio-psychological hypothesis explain cases of the reincarnation type?](#) *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease* 186, 504-6.
- Sharma, P., & Tucker, J.B. (2004). [Cases of the reincarnation type with memories from the intermission between lives](#). *Journal of Near-Death Studies* 23, 101-18.
- Shermer, M. (2018). *Heavens on Earth: The Scientific Search for the Afterlife, Immortality, and Utopia*. New York: Henry Holt. ISBN 978-1-62779-857-0
- Smith, A. (1984). Did Porphyry reject the transmigration of human souls into animals? *Rheinisches Museum für Philologie* (Neue Folge), 127. Bd., H. 3/4, 276-84.
- Smith, A.P. (2015). *The Lost Teachings of the Cathars: Their Beliefs and Practices*. London: Watkins.
- Snow, R.L. (1999). *Looking for Carroll Beckwith: The True Story of a Detective's Search for his Past Life*. Emmaus, Pennsylvania, USA: Rodale Books.
- Somersan, S. (1981). *Death Symbolism: A Cross-Cultural Study*. [PhD thesis, Ohio State University. Ann Arbor, MI: University Microfilms.]
- Somersan, S. (1984). Death symbolism in matrilineal societies. *Ethos* 12, 151-64.
- Stapp, H.P. [2009]. [Compatibility of contemporary physical theory with personality survival](#). [Web post.]
- Stevenson, I. (1960). [The evidence for survival from claimed memories of former incarnations. Part I. Review of the data](#). *Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research* 54, 51-71.
- Stevenson, I. (1966). Twenty cases suggestive of reincarnation. *Proceedings of the American Society for Psychical Research* 26, 1-361.
- Stevenson, I. (1973). Carington's psychon theory as applied to cases of the reincarnation type: A reply to Gardner Murphy. *Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research* 67, 130-145.
- Stevenson, I. (1974a). [Some questions related to cases of the reincarnation type](#). *Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research* 68, 395-416.
- Stevenson, I. (1974b). *Twenty Cases Suggestive of Reincarnation* (2nd ed., rev.). Charlottesville, Virginia, USA: University Press of Virginia.

- Stevenson, I. (1974c). *Xenoglossy: A Review and Report of a Case*. Charlottesville, Virginia, USA: University Press of Virginia.
- Stevenson, I. (1980). *Cases of the Reincarnation Type. Vol. III: Twelve Cases in Lebanon and Turkey*. Charlottesville, Virginia, USA: University Press of Virginia.
- Stevenson, I. (1986). Reply to C.T.K. Chari. *Journal of the Society for Psychical Research* 53, 474-75.
- Stevenson, I. (1983a). *Cases of the Reincarnation Type. Vol. IV: Twelve Cases in Thailand and Burma*. Charlottesville, Virginia, USA: University Press of Virginia.
- Stevenson, I. (1983b). [Cryptomnesia and parapsychology](#). *Journal of the Society for Psychical Research* 52, 1-30.
- Stevenson, I. (1984). *Unlearned Language: New Studies in Xenoglossy*. Charlottesville, Virginia, USA: University Press of Virginia.
- Stevenson, I. (1990). [Phobias in children who claim to remember previous lives](#). *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 4, 243-54.
- Stevenson, I. (1992). [A new look at maternal impressions: An analysis of 50 published cases and reports of two recent examples](#). *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 6, 353-73.
- Stevenson, I. (1993). [Birthmarks and birth defects corresponding to wounds on deceased persons](#). *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 7, 403-10.
- Stevenson, I. (1997). *Reincarnation and Biology: A Contribution to the Etiology of Birthmarks and Birth Defects* (2 vols). Westport, Connecticut, USA: Praeger.
- Stevenson, I. (2000). [Unusual play in young children who claim to remember previous lives](#). *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 14, 557-70.
- Stevenson, I. (2001). *Children who Remember Previous Lives: A Question of Reincarnation* (rev. ed.). Jefferson, North Carolina, USA: McFarland.
- Stevenson, I. (2003). *European Cases of the Reincarnation Type*. Jefferson, North Carolina, USA: McFarland. ISBN 0-7864-1458-8
- Stevenson, I. (2006). [Half a career with the paranormal](#). *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 20, 13-21.
- Stevenson, I., & Chadha, N. (1990). [Can children be stopped from speaking about previous lives? Some further analyses of features in cases of the reincarnation type](#). *Journal of the Society for Psychical Research* 56, 82-90.
- Stevenson, I., & Keil, J. (2000). [The stability of assessments of paranormal connections in reincarnation cases](#). *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 14, 365-82.
- Stevenson, I., & Keil, J. (2005). [Children of Myanmar who behave like Japanese soldiers: A possible third element in personality](#). *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 19, 172-83.

- Stevenson, I., Pasricha, S., & McClean-Rice, N. (1989). [A case of the possession type in India with evidence of paranormal knowledge](#). *Journal of Scientific Exploration* 3, 81-101.
- Stevenson, I., Pasricha, S., & Samararatne, G. (1988). [Deception and self-deception in cases of the reincarnation type: Seven illustrative cases in Asia](#). *Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research* 82, 1-31.
- Swanson, G. (1960). *The Birth of the Gods: The Origin of Primitive Beliefs*. Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA: University of Michigan Press.
- Thondup, T. (2005). *Peaceful Death, Joyful Rebirth: A Tibetan Buddhist Guidebook*. Boulder, Colorado, USA: Shambhala. ISBN 978-1-59030-385-6
- Toynbee, A. (1959). *Hellenism: The History of a Civilization*. London: Oxford University Press.
- Trugman, A.A. (2008). *Return Again: The Dynamics of Reincarnation*. New York: Devora Publishing. ISBN 978-1-934440-15-5
- Trumbower, J.A. (2016). [Paper presented at Philo of Alexandria Seminar, Society of Biblical Literature Annual Meeting, San Antonio, Texas, November, 2016](#).
- [Tucker, J.B. \(2013\). Return to Life: Extraordinary Cases of Children who Remember Past Lives. New York: St. Martin's Press.](#)
- [Tucker, J.B., & Nidiffer, F.D. \(2014\). Psychological evaluation of American children who report memories of previous lives. Journal of Scientific Exploration 28, 583-94.](#)
- Tylor, E.B. (1958). *Religion in Primitive Culture*. New York: Harper and Row. (Originally published as Chapters XI-XIX of *Primitive Culture* by John Murray, London).
- Waugh, E. (1999). Persistent fragments: The trajectories of reincarnation in Islam. In *Concepts of Transmigration: Perspectives on Reincarnation*, ed. by S. J. Kaplan, 53-85. Lewiston, NY: Edwin Mellen Press.
- Wheatley, J.M.O. (1979). Reincarnation, "astral bodies," and "psi-components." *Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research* 79, 109-22.
- Wilson, I. (1981). *Mind out of Time? Reincarnation Investigated*. London: Victor Gollancz. [Reprinted 1982 as *All in the Mind: Reincarnation, Hypnotic Regression, Stigmata, Multiple Personality, and Other Little-Understood Powers of the Mind*. New York: Doubleday.]
- Wilson, J. (2010). Saṃsāra and rebirth. *Buddhism*. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/obo/9780195393521-0141>
- Woollacott, M. H. (2015). *Infinite Awareness: The Awakening of a Scientific Mind*. Lanham, Maryland, USA: Rowman & Littlefield. ISBN 978-1-4422-5033-8

Yli-Karjanmaa, S. (2015). *Reincarnation in Philo of Alexandria* (Studia Philonica Monographs). Atlanta, Georgia, USA: SBL Press.

Endnotes

Footnotes

- [1.](#) Materialism is under assault from philosophy (e.g., Koons & Bealer, 2010), psychology (e.g., Barušs & Mossbridge, 2017), neuroscience (e.g., Wollacott, 2015), quantum mechanics (e.g., Stapp, 2011), and other disciplines (e.g., Kastrup, 2014; Kelly, Crabtree, & Marshall, 2015).
- [2.](#) Stapp (2009), 9.
- [3.](#) Stevenson ([2006](#)), 15.
- [4.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [5.](#) <https://www.etymonline.com/search?q=reincarnation>. *Compact Edition of the Oxford English Dictionary* (1977, 2475), cites the first usage of *re-incarnation* in 1858.
- [6.](#) <https://www.etymonline.com/search?q=reincarnation>. *Compact Edition* (1977, 2434), says that *rebirth* was in common use to refer to reincarnation by c. 1850.
- [7.](#) <https://www.etymonline.com/search?q=transmigration>. *Compact Edition* (1977, 3382), cites the first mention of transmigration of souls in 1594.
- [8.](#) <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Metempsychosis>
- [9.](#) <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Palingenesis>
- [10.](#) <https://en.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/metensomatosi>
- [11.](#) <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gilgul>
- [12.](#) Waugh (1999), 56.
- [13.](#) <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sa%E1%B9%83s%C4%81ra>
- [14.](#) <https://www.collinsdictionary.com/dictionary/english-hindi/samsara>
- [15.](#) <https://www.quora.com/What-is-the-difference-between-rebirth-and-reincarnation>.
- [16.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [17.](#) Krishan (1997); O'Flaherty (1980).
- [18.](#) O'Flaherty (1980), 28-37.
- [19.](#) This term, which appears also as *parakayapravesh*, *parkaya pravesha* and *parakaya pravesha* may denote the willful movement of the spirit of a living person into another body as well as a discarnate spirit of a deceased person into a living body. Either instance is represented as 'possession' in English.
- [20.](#) Mandair (2013), 145-47, 176.
- [21.](#) Krishan (1997); Stevenson (1974b), 372 n4.
- [22.](#) Less commonly, four branches are recognized. In addition to Theravada and Mahayana, these include Vajrayana and Zen Buddhism. See http://www.findingdulcinea.com/guides/Religion-and-Spirituality/Buddhism.pg_00.html
- [23.](#) Becker (1993); Thondup (2005).
- [24.](#) Thondup (2005).
- [25.](#) Schibli (1990). By this time, the mystery school known as [Orphism](#) had developed a myth about the dismemberment and reconstitution of [Dionysus](#)

(Johnson, 2013, 73-80), but this sort of ‘reincarnation’ is very different from the personal reincarnation taught by Pherecydes of Syros and Pythagoras.

- [26.](#) Burkert (1972).
- [27.](#) *History of Herodotus* 2.123, trans. G. C. Macaulay.
- [28.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [29.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [30.](#) *Phaedrus*, 246a-249c.
- [31.](#) *Republic* 10.617e-620c,
- [32.](#) *Republic* 10.621a.
- [33.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [34.](#) Yli-Karjanmaa (2015).
- [35.](#) *Josephus, The War of the Jews*, II.8.14.
- [36.](#) For instance, Cohn-Sherbok (1991), 191.
- [37.](#) Yli-Karjanmaa (2015), 158.
- [38.](#) Cumont (1922), cited in Matlock (2019).
- [39.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [40.](#) Plotinus, *The Enneads*, translated by MacKenna & Page, cited in Matlock (2019).
- [41.](#) Hamerton-Kelly (1973).
- [42.](#) Trumbower (2016).
- [43.](#) MacGregor (1978), 48-62.
- [44.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [45.](#) Nor did the First Council of Nicaea determine what books to included in the New Testament; its deliberations were confined to more general issues of Church doctrine. See <https://ccel.org/ccel/schaff/npnf214/npnf214.vii.i.html>.
- [46.](#) MacGregor (1978), 48-62.
- [47.](#) St Thomas of Aquinas took a stand against reincarnation in several works, including *Summa contra Gentiles*, *Book 2*, chap. 83 (George, 1996).
- [48.](#) A. P. Smith (2015).
- [49.](#) Singer (1950), cited in Stevenson (2003), 7.
- [50.](#) Alexakis (2001).
- [51.](#) Kaplan (1979), xi.
- [52.](#) Ogren (2008).
- [53.](#) Pinson (1999); Trugman (2008).
- [54.](#) Waugh (1999).
- [55.](#) Waugh (1999).
- [56.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [57.](#) <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alawites>
- [58.](#) Stevenson (1980).
- [59.](#) Stevenson (1980).
- [60.](#) Julius Caesar, *Gallic Wars* 6.14. ‘by this tenet [they] are in a great degree excited to valor, the fear of death being disregarded’ (*Gallic Wars Book 6, Chapter 14*, trans. by W.A. McDevitte & W.S. Bohn.
- [61.](#) Dillon & Chadwick (2003), 152-53; Evans-Wentz (1911/2007), 365-80.
- [62.](#) Osred (2011).
- [63.](#) Larrington (2014).
- [64.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [65.](#) <http://www.newworldencyclopedia.org/entry/Hermeticism>

- [66.](#) Lewis (1956).
- [67. https://www.golden-dawn.com/eu/displaycontent.aspx?pageid=115-hermetic-tradition](https://www.golden-dawn.com/eu/displaycontent.aspx?pageid=115-hermetic-tradition)
- [68.](#)
http://www.masonicworld.com/education/files/artaug04/masonry_and_the_dctrine_of_reincarnation.htm
- [69. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_Freemasonry](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_Freemasonry)
- [70.](#) Iriwn ([2017a](#), 2017b).
- [71.](#) Irwin (2017b), 120.
- [72. http://www.theosophy-nw.org/theosnw/reincar/re-tran.htm](http://www.theosophy-nw.org/theosnw/reincar/re-tran.htm). For some of Thoreau's comments on reincarnation, see https://www.azquotes.com/author/14637-Henry_David_Thoreau/tag/reincarnation.
- [73.](#) Irwin (2017b), 130-34.
- [74.](#) Irwin (2017b), 209-54.
- [75.](#) Melton ([1994](#)).
- [76.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [77.](#) Stevenson (2003), 9.
- [78.](#) Haraldsson ([2006](#)).
- [79.](#)
<http://www.harrisinteractive.com/NewsRoom/HarrisPolls/tabid/447/ctl/ReadCustom%20Default/mid/1508/ArticleId/1353/Default.aspx>
- [80.](#) Jefferson (2008); Mills & Slobodin (1994).
- [81.](#) Matlock & Mills ([1994](#)).
- [82.](#) Matlock ([1993](#)), 123.
- [83.](#) Davis (1971); Matlock ([1993](#)); Somersan (1981); Swanson (1960).
- [84.](#) Matlock ([1993](#)).
- [85.](#) Swanton (1960).
- [86.](#) Davis (1971); Somersan (1981, 1984); Matlock ([1995](#)).
- [87.](#) Tylor (1958).
- [88.](#) [Matlock \(1993\)](#); Matlock (2019).
- [89.](#) Matlock ([1993](#)), 129-30.
- [90.](#) Matlock ([1993](#)), 156.
- [91.](#) Stevenson (2001) 98-99; Matlock (2019).
- [92.](#) Matlock ([1993](#)), 87-89.
- [93.](#) Matlock ([1993](#)), 94-96.
- [94.](#) Matlock ([1990a](#)).
- [95.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [96.](#) One who assumes this is Alexakis (2001).
- [97.](#) McEvilley (2013).
- [98.](#) For example, Toynbee (1959), 55.
- [99.](#) Kingsley (2010), 147.
- [100.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [101.](#) For example, Irwin (2017b), xiii.
- [102.](#) Matlock ([1993](#)), 12, 44.
- [103.](#) Quoted in Matlock ([1993](#)), 45.
- [104.](#) Matlock (2019).

- [105.](#) [Fürer-Haimendorf \(1953\)](#); [Obeyesekere \(1980\)](#), cited in [Matlock \(2019\)](#) [./fn] If that is so, then what spread from India may not have been the belief in reincarnation as such, but the idea that it was associated with certain conceptions of karma. [Matlock \(2019\)](#).
- [106.](#) [Matlock \(2019\)](#).
- [107.](#) [Cerminara \(1950\)](#), 44.
- [108.](#) [Matlock \(2019\)](#).
- [109.](#) [Melton \(1994\)](#).
- [110.](#) [Cerminara \(1963\)](#), 69.
- [111.](#) [Matlock \(2019\)](#).
- [112.](#) [Stevenson \(2001\)](#), 47.
- [113.](#) [Matlock \(2019\)](#).
- [114.](#) Sceptics have tried hard to debunk the Bridey Murphy case, but their charges themselves have been debunked. See [Ducasse \(1961\)](#), [chap. 25](#). However, despite the correct details, no one Bridey Murphy named has ever been traced ([Matlock, 2019](#)).
- [115.](#) [Matlock \(2019\)](#).
- [116.](#) [Snow \(1999\)](#), cited in [Matlock \(2019\)](#).
- [117.](#) [Baker \(1992\)](#), 103-7.
- [118.](#) [Stevenson \(2001\)](#), 48-49.
- [119.](#) [Matlock \(2013\)](#).
- [120.](#) [Matlock \(2019\)](#).
- [121.](#) [Matlock \(2019\)](#); [Stevenson \(2001\)](#), 26-27.
- [122.](#) [Stevenson \(2001\)](#), 99-101, 197-99.
- [123.](#) [Stevenson \(2006\)](#).
- [124.](#) [Stevenson \(1960\)](#). See also [Pre-1900 reincarnation accounts](#).
- [125.](#) [Stevenson \(2006\)](#).
- [126.](#) [Stevenson \(1974b\)](#).
- [127.](#) See [Kelly \(2013\)](#) for a comprehensive list of [Stevenson's](#) publications.
- [128.](#) [Mills & Tucker \(2013\)](#), 314, 318.
- [129.](#) See [Patterns in Reincarnation Cases](#).
- [130.](#) [Stevenson \(2001\)](#), 108, 123; [Matlock \(1990b\)](#), 199.
- [131.](#) [Haraldsson \(2008\)](#).
- [132.](#) [Haraldsson & Abu-Izzeddin \(2012\)](#).
- [133.](#) [Haraldsson & Matlock \(2016\)](#), chap. 27.
- [134.](#) [Matlock \(2019\)](#).
- [135.](#) [Cook et al. \(1983\)](#), 121.
- [136.](#) [Tucker \(2013\)](#), 200-2.
- [137.](#) [Stevenson \(2001\)](#), 212.
- [138.](#) [Stevenson \(2000\)](#).
- [139.](#) [Stevenson \(1974b\)](#), 262-63.
- [140.](#) [Stevenson \(1974b\)](#), 205.
- [141.](#) [Tucker \(2013\)](#), 130-35.
- [142.](#) [Byrd \(2017\)](#).
- [143.](#) [Stevenson \(1993\)](#).
- [144.](#) [Stevenson \(1997\)](#).
- [145.](#) [Mills \(1994\)](#); [Stevenson \(1997\)](#), vol. 1, 589-636.
- [146.](#) [Matlock \(2019\)](#).

- [147. Pasricha, Keil, Tucker, & Stevenson \(2005\)](#), 379-81. For a longer account of this case, see Tucker (2013, chap. 1), under the name Patrick.
- [148. Stevenson \(1997\)](#), vol. 2, 1663, 1873.
- [149. Stevenson \(1997\)](#), vol. 2, 1757-1846.
- [150. Stevenson \(1997\)](#), vol. 2, 2045, 2053-56.
- [151. Stevenson \(1974b\)](#), 259.
- [152. Sharma & Tucker \(2004\)](#).
- [153.](#) For illustrations of these five stages, see [Intermission Memories](#).
- [154. Matlock & Gieslser-Petersen \(2016\)](#).
- [155. Matlock \(2017\)](#).
- [156. Tucker & Niddifer \(2014\)](#).
- [157. Haraldsson \(1997\)](#); Haraldsson, Fowler, & Periyannanpillai [\(2000\)](#).
- [158. Haraldsson \(2003\)](#).
- [159. Haraldsson \(2003\)](#).
- [160. Tucker & Niddifer \(2014\)](#).
- [161. Stevenson \(1990\)](#).
- [162. Matlock \(2019\)](#).
- [163. Matlock \(1989\)](#).
- [164. Matlock \(2019\)](#). See also [Adult Past Life Memories Research](#).
- [165. Stevenson \(2001\)](#), 212.
- [166.](#) See [Buried Treasure in Reincarnation Cases](#).
- [167. Matlock \(2019\)](#).
- [168. Stevenson \(1997\)](#), vol. 1, 1102-3.
- [169. Angel \(2015\)](#), 578.
- [170. Angel \(2015\)](#), 575-76. Angel presumably means: 'One should try to determine whether the sorts of correspondences found between a living person's verbal memory claims and the facts about a deceased person defy chance expectations.' The living person with the memory claims and the 'purportedly reincarnated deceased person' are one and the same, so as written, the sentence is meaningless.
- [171. Stevenson's obituary](#) may be found at <http://www.nytimes.com/2007/02/18/health/psychology/18stevenson.html>.
- [172. Angel \(2015\)](#), 576. See also Angel (2008).
- [173. Shermer \(2018\)](#), 100.
- [174. Mills \(2004\)](#).
- [175. Matlock \(2019\)](#), commenting on Angel (2002).
- [176. Shermer \(2018\)](#), 102.
- [177. Shermer \(2018\)](#), 100.
- [178. Matlock \(2019\)](#).
- [179. Edwards \(1996, 275\)](#) was the first to use this name.
- [180. Ransom \(2015\)](#). In introducing this contribution, the editors of the volume, Michael Martin and Keith Augustine, note that Stevenson asked that his reply be circulated along with Ransom's comments, but they chose to publish the summary of Ransom's comments without a similar summary of Stevenson's reply.
- [181. Stevenson \(1966\)](#).
- [182. Matlock \(2019\)](#).
- [183. Ransom \(2015\)](#), 640.

- [184.](#) Ransom (2015), 641.
- [185.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [186.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [187.](#) Rogo (1985), 73-86.
- [188.](#) Stevenson (1980), 17-51.
- [189.](#) Rogo (1985), 73, cited in Matlock ([1990b](#)), 249.
- [190.](#) Rogo (1985), 73.
- [191.](#) Stevenson (1986), cited in Matlock ([1990b](#)), 249.
- [192.](#) Rogo (1985), 77, cited in Matlock ([1990b](#)), 249-50.
- [193.](#) Wilson (1982), 23, cited in Matlock ([1990b](#)), 248.
- [194.](#) Angel first published his comments on this case in 1994 but repeated them as late as 2015 (Angel, 1994, 2015).
- [195.](#) Barros ([2004](#)), cited in Matlock (2019).
- [196.](#) Augustine ([n.d.](#)).
- [197.](#) Muller (1970).
- [198.](#) Stevenson (2003).
- [199.](#) Tucker (2013)./fn] among others, Haraldsson & Matlock (2016); Hassler (2013); Matlock (2019).
- [200.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [201.](#) Augustine (2015), 25, among others, draws this inference.
- [202.](#) Stevenson (1980), 9-10.
- [203.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [204.](#) Barker & Pasricha (1979).
- [205.](#) Pasricha (1990).
- [206.](#) Stevenson (2001), 180.
- [207.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [208.](#) Mills (2003), 76-77.
- [209.](#) Mills (2003).
- [210.](#) Pasricha & Barker (1983).
- [211.](#) Pasricha (1983).
- [212.](#) Wilson (1981), 21, 22.
- [213.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [214.](#) Lester (2015), 642.
- [215.](#) Lester (2005), 129.
- [216.](#) Stevenson (2001), 153.
- [217.](#) Stevenson (2001), 153-54.
- [218.](#) Stevenson ([1983b](#)).
- [219.](#) Stevenson (2001), 154.
- [220.](#) Chari (1962a).
- [221.](#) See, for instance, Augustine (2015).
- [222.](#) Stevenson (1974), 303-4.
- [223.](#) Stevenson (1974), 72.
- [224.](#) Pasricha & Barker (1983).
- [225.](#) Schouten & Stevenson ([1998](#)).
- [226.](#) Stevenson & Keil ([2000](#)).
- [227.](#) Tertullian, *A Treatise On the Soul*, chap. 30.
- [228.](#) Stevenson (2001), 207-9.
- [229.](#) Haraldsson & Matlock (2016), 224-25.

- [230.](#) Bishai ([2000](#)).
- [231.](#) See Haraldsson & Matlock (2016), 224-25; Matlock (2019).
- [232.](#) [Tertullian, *A Treatise on the Soul*](#), chap. 31.
- [233.](#) Edwards (2016), 223-24.
- [234.](#) Almeder ([1996](#)), 512.
- [235.](#) Stevenson (2001), 66-68, 70-71, 92, 96, 120, 194, 283 n27, 298 n23.
- [236.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [237.](#) Almeder ([1996](#)).
- [238.](#) Brody (1979), 75.
- [239.](#) Brody (1979).
- [240.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [241.](#) Mills ([1990](#)).
- [242.](#) Stevenson & Keil ([2005](#)).
- [243.](#) Pasricha ([1992](#), [2011](#)).
- [244.](#) Stevenson & Chadha ([1990](#)); Pasricha ([2011](#)).
- [245.](#) For example, Augustine (2015), 25.
- [246.](#) Steveson, Pasricha, and Samararatne ([1988](#)), 10-15, 22-26.
- [247.](#) Stevenson (1974b), 343-48.
- [248.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [249.](#) Chari (1962a, 1962b, 1967).
- [250.](#) Roll (1982), 197-98.
- [251.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [252.](#) Braude (2003), 114-27.
- [253.](#) Braude (2003), 181.
- [254.](#) Stevenson ([1992](#)).
- [255.](#) Keil (2010), 82.
- [256.](#) Wilson (1981), 25-26.
- [257.](#) Stevenson (1997), vol. 1, 1142-45. On p.1144, Stevenson presents a table listing cases in which mothers had no awareness of the previous person's wounds.
- [258.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [259.](#) [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Genetic_memory_\(psychology\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Genetic_memory_(psychology))
- [260.](#) Stevenson (2001), 158-59.
- [261.](#) Carington (1945).
- [262.](#) Murphy (1973), 122.
- [263.](#) Stevenson (1973), 139-41.
- [264.](#) Roll (1982), 197-200; Matlock (1990b), 253.
- [265.](#) Rogo (1985), 215-18.
- [266.](#) Keil (2010), 96.
- [267.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [268.](#) Stevenson (1997), vol. 2, 2084-88. See also Stevenson (2001), 234-35, 251.
- [269.](#) Stevenson (2001), 234-35.
- [270.](#) Stevenson (1997), vol. 2, 2083, citing Flew (1973) and Wheatley (1979).
- [271.](#) For example, Edwards (1996), chap. 9.
- [272.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [273.](#) Stevenson (1997), vol. 1, 1142.

- [274.](#) See Jasbir Jat in Stevenson (1974b), 34- 52; Chaokhun Rajsuthajarn in Stevenson (1983a), 171-90; and Ruprecht Schulz in Stevenson (2003), 210-22.
- [275.](#) Stevenson (1974b), 2.
- [276.](#) Stevenson (1997), vol. 1, 1142; Stevenson, Pasricha, & McLean-Rice ([1989](#)).
- [277.](#) Matlock (2019).
- [278.](#) Stevenson (1997), vol. 1, chaps. 1-3.
- [279.](#) Matlock (2019).